Modification No. 004 Contract No. 89243024CSC000002

Table of Changes for

FermiForward Discovery Group, LLC

Contract No. 89243024CSC000002 Modification No. 004

The purpose of this modification is to update the following:

CONTRACT SECTION J, ATTACHMENT J.2 - APPENDIX B

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND MEASUREMENT PLAN

Appendix B is replaced in its entirety. Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan incorporated upon award is hereby replaced with the FY 2025 (January 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025) Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan.

CONTRACT SECTION J, ATTACHMENT J.3 - APPENDIX C

SPECIAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTION ACCOUNT AGREEMENT

Appendix C Special Financial Institution Account Agreement is hereby incorporated.

CONTRACT SECTION J, ATTACHMENT J.8 - APPENDIX H

SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN

Appendix H is replaced in its entirety. Small Business Subcontracting Plan incorporated upon award is hereby replaced with the FY 2025 (January 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025) Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT 1. CONTRACT ID CODE PAGE OF 1 of 2							PAGE OF 1 of 2		
2. Al	MENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. 5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)						
	004	See Block 16C	N/A						
6. IS	SUED BY CODE		7. ADMINISTERED E	3Y (If other	Y (If other than Item 6) Code				
	U.S. Department of Energy	gy							
	Office of Science/Fermi S	See Bl	ock 6.						
	P.O. Box 2000, Wilson Ha								
	Batavia. IL 60510								
8. N/	AME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACT	ate and ZIP Code)	(√) 9	.A. AMEN	NDMENT OF SOLICI	ITATION NO.			
	FermiForward Discovery Pine Street and Kirk Roa		g	.B. DATE	D (SEE ITEM 11)				
	Batavia, IL 60510-5011								
				1	0.A. MOE	DIFICATION OF Con	tract/Order NO.		
				892	243024CSC0	00002			
	UEI: VLS6K3EVCMW5			1	0.B. DAT	ED (SEE ITEM 13)			
COD	E N/A	FACILITY CODE N/A	L.		00	ctober 1, 2024			
	11. THIS I	TEM ONLY APPLIES	TO AMENDMENTS	S OF SO	LICITAT	TIONS			
Т	he above numbered solicitation is amo	ended as set forth in Item 14	4. The hour and date sp	pecified for	receipt of	Offers is exten	nded, is not extended.		
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGE-MENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified						following ent on each copy URE OF YOUR R AND DATE Ibmitted, such Id is received prior			
12. <i>i</i>	ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATIO	N DATA (If required)	Appliachla						
	10 TUIC		Applicable			DC			
	IS. THIS IT MO	ORDER NO. AS DESC	RIBED IN	ITEM 14.	ко,				
	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					ADE IN THE			
	 B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CON paying office, appropriation date, 	TRACT/ORDER IS MODIF	IED TO REFLECT THE	ADMINIS	TRATIVE	CHANGES (such as	changes in		
Х	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREE	MENT IS ENTERED INTO	PURSUANT TO AUTH		F:				
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)								
E. I	MPORTANT: Contractor is rec	uired to sign this docu	ment and return 1	copy to t	he issuir	na office.			
						· · · · · · ·			

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section heading, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE PAGE 2

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING	GOFFICER (Type or print)
Lia Merminga, President FermiForward Discov	very Group LLC		Robert M. Scott, Contracting Officer	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B.	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
Digitally sign Date: 2025.0	ed by Lia Merminga 1.07 18:41:41 -06'00'	BY		
(Signature of person authorized to sign			(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

14. Description of Amendment/Modification:

The purpose of this modification is to update the following:

- ATTACHMENT J.2 APPENDIX B PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND MEASUREMENT PLAN FY25 (January 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025), (attached hereto) is substituted for the APPENDIX B – PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND MEASUREMENT PLAN FY25 previously incorporated into this contract upon award.
- 2. ATTACHMENT J.3 APPENDIX C SPECIAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTION ACCOUNT AGREEMENT, (attached hereto) is hereby incorporated.
- ATTACHMENT J.8 APPENDIX H SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN FY25 (January 1, 2025 September 30, 2025), (attached hereto) is substituted for the APPENDIX H – SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN FY25 previously incorporated into this contract upon award.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

END OF MODIFICATION



Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan of

FermiForward Discovery Group, LLC. for

the

Management and Operation of the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

> Fiscal Year 2025 January 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
I. DETERMINING THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE RATING, PERFORMANC BASED FEE AND AWARD TERM ELIGIBILITY	E2
Performance Evaluation Methodology:	2
Calculating Individual Goal Scores and Letter Grades:	4
Determining the Amount of Performance-Based Fee Earned:	5
Adjustment to the Letter Grade and/or Performance-Based Fee Determination:	7
Determining Award Term Eligibility:	8
II. PERFORMANCE GOALS, OBJECTIVES & NOTABLE OUTCOMES	8
GOAL 1.0 Provide for Efficient and Effective Mission Accomplishment	9
GOAL 2.0 Provide for Efficient and Effective Design, Fabrication, Construction and Operation Research Facilities	ns of 11
GOAL 3.0 Provide Effective and Efficient Science and Technology Program Management	23
Program Office Goal & Objective Weightings - Office of Science	30
GOAL 4.0 Provide Sound and Competent Leadership and Stewardship of the Laboratory	31
GOAL 5.0 Sustain Excellence and Enhance Effectiveness of Integrated Safety, Health, and Environmental Protection	42
GOAL 6.0 Deliver Efficient, Effective, and Responsive Business Systems and Resources that Enable the Successful Achievement of the Laboratory Mission(s)	43
GOAL 7.0 Sustain Excellence in Operating, Maintaining, and Renewing the Facility and Infrastructure Portfolio to Meet Laboratory Needs	45
GOAL 8.0 Sustain and Enhance the Effectiveness of Integrated Safeguards and Security Management (ISSM) and Emergency Management Systems	46

INTRODUCTION

This document, the Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan (PEMP), primarily serves as DOE's Quality Assurance/Surveillance Plan (QASP) for the evaluation of FermiForward Discovery Group, LLC (hereafter referred to as "the Contractor") performance regarding the management and operations of the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (hereafter referred to as "the Laboratory") for the evaluation period from January 1, 2025, through September 30, 2025. The performance evaluation provides a standard by which to determine whether the Contractor is managerially and operationally in control of the Laboratory and is meeting the mission requirement and performance expectations/objectives of the Department as stipulated within this contract.

This document also describes the distribution of the total available performance-based fee and the methodology for determining the amount of fee earned by the Contractor as stipulated within the clauses entitled, "Determining Total Available Performance Fee and Fee Earned," "Conditional Payment of Fee, Profit, or Incentives," and "Total Available Fee: Base Fee Amount and Performance Fee Amount." In partnership with the Contractor and other key customers, the Department of Energy (DOE) Headquarters (HQ) and the Site Office have defined the measurement basis that serves as the Contractor's performance-based evaluation and fee determination.

The Performance Goals (hereafter referred to as Goals), Performance Objectives (hereafter referred to as Objectives) and set of notable outcomes discussed herein were developed in accordance with contract expectations set forth within the contract. The notable outcomes for meeting the Objectives set forth within this plan have been developed in coordination with HQ program offices as appropriate. Except as otherwise provided for within the contract, the evaluation and fee determination will rest solely on the Contractor's performance within the Performance Goals and Objectives set forth within this plan.

The overall performance against each Objective of this performance plan, to include the evaluation of notable outcomes, shall be evaluated jointly by the appropriate HQ office, major customer and/or the Site Office as appropriate. This cooperative review methodology will ensure that the overall evaluation of the Contractor results in a consolidated DOE position taking into account specific notable outcomes as well as all additional information available to the evaluating office. The Site Office shall work closely with each HQ program office or major customer throughout the year (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) in evaluating the Contractor's performance and will provide observations regarding programs and projects as well as other management and operation activities conducted by the Contractor throughout the year (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025).

<u>Section I</u> provides information on how the performance rating (grade) for the Contractor, as well as the performance-based incentives fee earned (if any), will be determined. As applicable, also provides information on the award term eligibility requirements.

<u>Section II</u> provides the detailed information concerning each Goal, its corresponding Objectives, and notable outcomes identified, along with the weightings assigned to each Goal and Objective and a table for calculating the final grade for each Goal.

I. DETERMINING THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE RATING, PERFORMANCE-BASED FEE AND AWARD TERM ELIGIBILITY

The FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) Contractor performance grade for each Goal will be determined based on the weighted sum of the individual scores earned for each of the Objectives described within this document for Contractor/Laboratory Leadership and for Management and Operations (M&O). For each Science and Technology (S&T) Goal, an initial weighted sum will be calculated analogously for each evaluating office, and a cost-based weighted sum of these initial sums will determine the Contractor performance grade. Each Goal is composed of two or more weighted Objectives. Additionally, a set of notable outcomes has been identified to highlight key aspects/areas of performance deserving special attention by the Contractor for the upcoming fiscal year (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) performance period. Each notable outcome is linked to one or more Objectives, and failure to meet expectations against any notable outcome will result in a grade less than B+ for that Objective(s). That is, if the contractor fails to meet expectations against a notable outcome tied to an Objective under Goal 1.0, 2.0, or 3.0, the SC program office that assigned the notable outcome shall award a grade less than "B+" for the Objective(s) to which the notable outcome is linked; and if the contractor fails to meet expectations against a notable outcome tied to an Objective under Goal 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0 or 8.0, SC shall award a grade less than "B+" for the Objective(s) to which the notable outcome is linked. Performance above expectations against a notable outcome will be considered in the context of the Contractor's entire performance with respect to the relevant Objective. The following section describes SC's methodology for determining the Contractor's grades at the Objective level.

Performance Evaluation Methodology:

The purpose of this section is to establish a methodology to develop grades at the Objective level. Each evaluating office shall provide a proposed grade and corresponding numerical score for each Objective (see Figure 1 for SC's scale). Each evaluation will measure the degree of effectiveness and performance of the Contractor in meeting the corresponding Objectives.

Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D	F
Total	4.3-	4.0-	3.7-	3.4-	3.0-	2.7-	2.4-	2.0-	1.7-	0.8-	0.7-0
Score	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.1	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.1	1.0	

Figure 1. FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) Contractor Letter Grade Scale

For the three S&T Goals (1.0 - 3.0) the Contractor shall be evaluated against the defined levels of performance provided for each Objective under the S&T Goals. The Contractor performance under Goal 4.0 will also be evaluated using the defined levels of performance described for the four Objectives under Goal 4.0. The descriptions for these defined levels of performance are included in Section II.

It is the DOE's expectation that the Contractor provides for and maintains management and operational (M&O) systems that efficiently and effectively support the current mission(s) of the Laboratory and assure the Laboratory's ability to deliver against DOE's future needs. In evaluating the Contractor's performance DOE shall assess the degree of effectiveness and performance in meeting each of the Objectives provided under each of the Goals. For the four M&O Goals (5.0 - 8.0) DOE will rely on a combination of the information through the Contractor's own assurance systems, the ability of the Contractor's performance across the spectrum of its responsibilities. The latter might include but is not limited to operational awareness (daily oversight) activities; formal assessments conducted; "For Cause" reviews (if any); and other outside agency reviews (OIG, GAO, DCAA, etc.).

The mission of the Laboratory is to deliver the science and technology needed to support Departmental missions and other sponsors' needs. Operational performance at the Laboratory meets DOE's expectations (defined as the grade of B+) for each Objective if the Contractor is performing at a level that fully supports the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission(s). Performance that

does, or has the potential to, 1) adversely impact the delivery of the current and/or future DOE/Laboratory mission(s), 2) adversely impact the DOE and or the Laboratory's reputation, or 3) fail to provide the competent people, necessary facilities and robust systems necessary to ensure sustainable performance, shall be graded below expectations as defined in Figure I-1, below.

The Department sets our expectations high and expects performance at that level to optimize the efficient and effective operation of the Laboratory. Thus, the Department does not expect routine Contractor performance above expectations against the M&O Goals (5.0 - 8.0). Performance that might merit grades above B+ would need to reflect a Contractor's significant contributions to the management and operations at the system of Laboratories, or recognition by external, independent entities as exemplary performance.

Definitions for the grading scale for the Goal 5.0 - 8.0 Objectives are provided in Figure I-1, below:

Letter Grade	Numerical Grade	Definition
A+	4.3-4.1	Significantly exceeds expectations of performance against all aspects of the Objective in question. The Contractor's systems function at a level that fully supports the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission(s). Performance is notable for its significant contributions to the management and operations across the SC system of laboratories, and/or has been recognized by external, independent entities as exemplary.
А	4.0-3.8	Notably exceeds expectations of performance against all aspects of the Objective in question. The Contractor's systems function at a level that fully supports the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission(s). Performance is notable for its contributions to the management and operations across the SC system of laboratories, and/or as been recognized by external, independent entities as exemplary.
A-	3.7-3.5	Exceeds expectations of performance against all aspects of the Objective in question. The Contractor's systems function at a level that fully supports the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission(s).
B+	3.4-3.1	Meets expectations of performance against all aspects of the Objective in question. The Contractor's systems function at a level that fully supports the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission(s). No performance has, or has the potential to, adversely impact 1) the delivery of the current and/or future DOE/Laboratory mission(s), 2) the DOE and/or the Laboratory's reputation, or does not 3) provide a sustainable performance platform.
В	3.0 -2.8	Just misses meeting expectations of performance against a few aspects of the Objective in question. In a few minor instances, the Contractor's systems function at a level that does not fully support the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission or provide a sustainable performance platform.
B-	2.7-2.5	Misses meeting expectations of performance against several aspects of the Objective in question. In several areas, the Contractor's systems function at a level that does not fully support the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission or provide a sustainable performance platform.
C+	2.4-2.1	Misses meeting expectations of performance against many aspects of the Objective in question. In several notable areas, the Contractor's systems function at a level that does not fully support the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission or provide a sustainable performance platform, and/or have affected the reputation of the Laboratory or DOE.
С	2.0-1.8	Significantly misses meeting expectations of performance against many aspects of the Objective in question. In many notable areas, the Contractor's systems do not support the Laboratory's current and future science and technology mission, nor provide a sustainable performance platform and may affect the reputation of the Laboratory or DOE.

		Significantly misses meeting expectations of performance against most
	1.7-1.1	aspects of the Objective in question. In many notable areas, the Contractor's
C-		systems demonstrably hinder the Laboratory's ability to deliver on current
		and future science and technology mission and have harmed the reputation
		of the Laboratory or DOE.
		Most or all expectations of performance against the Objective in question are
р	1.0-0.8	missed. Performance failures in this area have affected all parts of the
D		Laboratory; DOE leadership engagement is required to deal with the situation
		and help the Contractor.
	070	All expectations of performance against the Objective in question are missed.
F	0.7-0	Performance failures in this area are not recoverable by the Contractor or
-		DOE.

Figure I-1. Letter Grade and Numerical Grade Definitions for Objectives under M&O Goals

Calculating Individual Goal Scores and Letter Grades:

Each Objective is assigned the earned numerical score by each evaluating office as stated above. For an evaluating office, the Goal score is then computed by multiplying each Objective numerical score under that Goal by the weight assigned to that Objective by that office, and then adding these values together. For Goals 4.0-8.0, this determines the overall Goal score. For Goals 1.0-3.0, the overall Goal score is calculated by multiplying each evaluating office's Goal score by the office's cost-based weight, and then adding them. For the purpose of determining the eight Goal grades, the unrounded raw overall numerical score for each Goal will be rounded to the nearest tenth of a point using the standard rounding convention discussed below following Figure 2, and then will be compared to Figure 1. A set of tables is provided at the end of each Performance Goal section of this document to assist in the calculation from Objective numerical scores to the Goal grade. No overall rollup grade shall be provided.

The eight Performance Goal grades shall be used to create a report card for the laboratory (see Figure 2, below).

Performance Goal	Grade
1.0 Mission Accomplishment	
2.0 Design, Fabrication, Construction and Operations of Research Facilities	
3.0 Science and Technology Program Management	
4.0 Sound and Competent Leadership and Stewardship of the Laboratory	
5.0 Integrated Safety, Health, and Environmental Protection	
6.0 Business Systems	
7.0 Operating, Maintaining, and Renewing Facility and Infrastructure Portfolio	
8.0 Integrated Safeguards and Security Management and Emergency Management	
Systems	

Figure 2. Laboratory Report Card

Although rounded to convert to letter grades, the unrounded raw numerical score from each calculation shall be carried through to the next stage of the calculation process. The unrounded raw numerical score for weighted final S&T and weighted final M&O will be rounded to the nearest tenth of a point for purposes of determining fee. A standard rounding convention of x.44 and less rounds down to the nearest tenth (here, x.4), while x.45 and greater rounds up to the nearest tenth (here, x.5).

Determining the Amount of Performance-Based Fee Earned:

SC uses the following process to determine the amount of performance-based fee earned by the contractor. The overall Goal scores for each S&T Performance Goal shall be used to determine an initial numerical score for S&T (see Table A, below), and the overall Goal scores for each M&O Performance Goal shall be used to determine an initial numerical M&O score (see Table B, below).

S&T Performance Goal	Numerical Score	Weight ¹		
1.0 Mission Accomplishment		≥30%		
2.0 Design, Fabrication, Construction and Operation of Research Facilities				
3.0 Science and Technology Program Management		25%		
		Initial S&T	Score	

Table A. Fiscal Year 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) Contractor Evaluation Initial S&T Score Calculation

M&O Performance Goal	Numerical Score	Weight		
5.0 Integrated Safety, Health, and Environmental Protection		30%		
6.0 Business Systems		30%		
7.0 Operating, Maintaining, and Renewing Facility and Infrastructure Portfolio		25%		
8.0 Integrated Safeguards and Security Management and Emergency Management Systems		15%		
		Initial N	A&O Score	

Table B. Fiscal Year 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 - Sep 30, 2025) Contractor Evaluation Initial M&O Score Calculation

These initial scores will then be adjusted based on the numerical score for Goal 4.0 (see Table C, below).

	Numerical Score	Weight		
Initial S&T Score	TBD	75%		
Goal 4.0	TBD	25%		
		Final	S&T Score	
Initial M&O Score	TBD	75%		
Goal 4.0	TBD	25%		
		Final I	M&O Score	

Table C. Fiscal Year 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) Final S&T and M&O Score Calculation

¹ For Goals 1.0 and 2.0, the weights are based on fiscal year costs (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) for each program distributed between Goals 1.0 and 2.0; however, a minimum weight of 30% for Goal 1.0 is required regardless of program distributions. For Goal 3.0, the weight is set as a fixed percentage for all laboratories

The percentage of the available performance-based fee that may be earned by the Contractor shall be determined based on the final score for S&T (see Table C) and then compared to Figure 3, below. The final score for M&O from Table C shall then be utilized to determine the final fee multiplier (see Figure 3), which shall be utilized to determine the overall amount of performance-based fee earned for FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) as calculate with Table D.

Overall Final Score for either S&T or M&O from Table C.	Percent S&T Fee Earned	M&O Fee Multiplier
4.3 4.2 4.1	100%	100%
4.0 3.9 3.8	97%	100%
3.7 3.6 3.5	94%	100%
3.4 3.3 3.2	91%	100%
<u>3.0</u> 2.9 2.8	88%	95%
2.7 2.6 2.5	85%	90%
2.4 2.3 2.2 2.1	75%	85%
2.0 1.9 1.8	50%	75%
$ \begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.1 \\ \end{array} $	0%	60%
1.0 to 0.8	0%	0%
0.7 to 0.0	0%	0%

Figure 3. Performance-Based Fee Earned Scale

Overall Fee Determination	
Percent S&T Fee Earned	
M&O Fee Multiplier	Х
Overall Earned Performance-Based Fee	

 Table D. Final Percentage of Performance-Based Fee Earned Determination

The Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) requirements for using and administering cost-plus-award-fee contracts were modified to provide for a five-level adjectival grading system with associated levels of available fee². SC has addressed the FAR Part 16 language by mapping its standard numerical scores and associated fee determination to FAR Adjectival Rating System as noted in Figure 4.

Range of Overall Final Score for S&T from Figure 3.	FAR Adjectival Rating	Maximum Performance- Fee Pool Available to be Earned
3.1 to 4.3	Excellent	100%
2.5 to 3.0	Very Good	88%
2.1 to 2.4	Good	75%
1.8 to 2.0	Satisfactory	50%
0.0 to 1.7	Unsatisfactory	0%

Figure 4. Crosswalk of SC Numerical Scores and the FAR Part 16a Adjectival Rating System

Adjustment to the Letter Grade and/or Performance-Based Fee Determination:

The lack of performance objectives and notable outcomes in this plan does not diminish the need to comply with minimum contractual requirements. Although the performance-based Goals and their corresponding Objectives shall be the primary means utilized in determining the Contractor's performance grade and/or amount of performance-based fee earned, the Contracting Officer may unilaterally adjust the rating and/or reduce the otherwise earned fee based on the Contractor's performance against all contract requirements as set forth in the Prime Contract. While reductions may be based on performance against any contract requirement, specific note should be made to contract clauses which address reduction of fee including, Standards of Contractor Performance Evaluation, DEAR 970.5215-1 – Total Available Fee: Base Fee Amount and Performance Fee Amount, and Conditional Payment of Fee, Profit, and Other Incentives – Facility Management Contracts. Data to support rating and/or fee adjustments may be derived from other sources to include, but not limited to, operational awareness (daily oversight) activities; "For Cause" reviews (if any); and other outside agency reviews (OIG, GAO, DCAA, etc.), as needed.

The adjustment of a grade and/or reduction of otherwise earned fee will be determined by the severity of the performance failure and consideration of mitigating factors. DEAR 970.5215-3 Conditional Payment of Fee, Profit, and Other Incentives – Facility Management Contracts is the mechanism used for reduction of fee as it relates to performance failures related to safeguarding of classified information and to adequate protection of environment, health and safety. Its guidance can also serve as an example for reduction of fee in other areas.

The final Contractor performance-based grades for each Goal and fee earned determination will be contained within a report covering Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025, documenting the results from the DOE review. The report will identify areas where performance improvement is necessary and, if required, provide the basis for any performance-based rating and/or fee adjustments made from the otherwise earned rating/fee based on Performance Goal achievements.

² See Policy Flash 2010-05, *Federal Acquisition Circular 2005-37*.

Determining Award Term Eligibility:

The Prime contract contains a non-monetary performance incentive in Section F "Deliveries or Performance" at Clause F.2. The base term of the prime contract is five years expiring December 31, 2029. Contingent upon approval of contract extension, the prime contract may be extended utilizing the "Award Term Incentive (Special)" Clause.

II. PERFORMANCE GOALS, OBJECTIVES & NOTABLE OUTCOMES

Background

The current performance-based management approach to oversight within DOE has established a new culture within the Department with emphasis on the customer-supplier partnership between DOE and the laboratory contractors. It has also placed a greater focus on mission performance, best business practices, cost management, and improved contractor accountability. Under the performance-based management system the DOE provides clear direction to the laboratories and develops annual performance plans (This covers Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) to assess the contractors' performance in meeting that direction in accordance with contract requirements. The DOE policy for implementing performance-based management includes the following guiding principles:

- Performance objectives are established in partnership with affected organizations and are directly aligned to the DOE strategic goals;
- Resource decisions and budget requests are tied to results; and
- Results are used for management information, establishing accountability, and driving long-term improvements.

The performance-based approach focuses the evaluation of the Contractor's performance against these Performance Goals. Progress against these Goals is measured through the use of a set of Objectives. The success of each Objective will be measured based on demonstrated performance by the laboratory, and on a set of notable outcomes that focus laboratory leadership on the specific items that are the most important initiatives, and highest risk issues the laboratory must address during the fiscal year (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025). These notable outcomes should be objective, measurable, and results-oriented to allow for a definitive determination of whether or not the specific outcome was achieved at the end of the year (Sep 30, 2025).

Performance Goals, Objectives, and Notable Outcomes

The following section describe the Performance Goals, their supporting Objectives, and associated notable outcomes for FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025).

GOAL 1.0 Provide for Efficient and Effective Mission Accomplishment

The science and technology programs at the Laboratory produce high-quality, original, and creative results that advance science and technology; demonstrate sustained scientific progress and impact; receive appropriate external recognition of accomplishments; and contribute to overall research and development goals of the Department and its customers.

The weight of this Goal is TBD%.

The Provide for Efficient and Effective Mission Accomplishment Goal measures the overall effectiveness and performance of the Contractor in delivering science and technology results which contribute to and enhance the DOE's (or other relevant supporting agencies') mission of protecting our national and economic security by providing world-class scientific research capacity and advancing scientific knowledge by supporting world-class, peer-reviewed scientific results, which are recognized by others.

Each Objective within this Goal is to be assigned the appropriate numerical score by the Office of Science Program Offices, other cognizant HQ Program Offices, and other customers as identified below. The Goal score from each HQ Program Office and/or customer is computed by multiplying each Objective numerical score by the associated weight assigned by that Office/customer and summing them (see Table 1.1). The final weights to be utilized for determining weighted scores will be determined following the end of the performance period and will be based on actual cost for FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025).

- Office of High Energy Physics (HEP)
- Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists (WDTS)

The overall Performance Goal score and grade will be determined by multiplying the Goal score assigned by each of the offices identified above by the cost-based weightings identified for each and then summing them (see Table 1.2, below). The cost-based weights to be utilized for determining the overall score will be determined following the end of the performance period and will be based on actual cost for FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025). The overall score earned is then compared to Table 1.3 to determine the overall letter grade for this Goal. The Contractor's success in meeting each Objective shall be determined based on the Contractor's performance as viewed by the Office of Science Program Offices, other cognizant HQ Program Offices, and other customers for which the Laboratory conducts work. Should one or more of the HQ Program Offices choose not to provide an evaluation for this Goal and its corresponding Objectives, the weighting for the remaining HQ Program Offices shall be recalculated based on their percentage of cost for FY2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) as compared to the total cost for those remaining HQ Program Offices

Objectives:

1.1 Provide Science and Technology Results with Meaningful Impact on the Field

- Performance of the Laboratory with respect to proposed research plans;
- Performance of the Laboratory with respect to community impact and peer review; and
- Performance of the Laboratory with respect to impact to DOE (or other customer) mission needs.

The following is a sampling of factors to be considered in determining the level of performance for the Laboratory against this Objective. The evaluator(s) may consider the following as measured through progress reports, peer reviews, Field Work Proposals (FWPs), Program Office reviews/oversight, etc.

- Impact of publications on the field, as measured primarily by peer review;
- Impact of S&T results on the field, as measured primarily by peer review;
- Impact of S&T results outside the field indicating broader interest;
- Impact of S&T results on DOE or other customer mission(s);
- Successful stewardship of mission-relevant research areas;
- Delivery on proposed S&T plans;
- Significant awards (Nobel Prizes, R&D 100, FLC, etc.);
- Invited talks, citations, making high-quality data available to the scientific community; and
- Development of tools and techniques that become standards or widely-used in the scientific community.

Letter Grade	Definition
	In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+
A +	 There are significant research areas for which the Laboratory has exceeded the expectations of the proposed research plans in significant ways through creative, new, or unconventional methods that allow greater scientific reach than expected. S&T conducted at the Laboratory has resolved one of the most critical questions in the field or has changed the way the research community thinks about a particular field through paradigm shifting discoveries that would be considered the most influential discovery of the decade for that field. S&T conducted at the Laboratory provided major advances that significantly accelerate DOE or other customer mission(s)
	In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+
А	 There are <i>important examples</i> where the Laboratory <i>exceeded the expectations of the proposed research plans in significant ways through creative, new, or unconventional methods that allow greater scientific reach than expected.</i> All areas of S&T conducted at the Laboratory are of <i>exceptional or outstanding merit and quality.</i> S&T conducted at the Laboratory has <i>significant positive impact</i> to DOE or other customer missions.
	In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+
A-	 There are <i>important examples</i> where the Laboratory <i>exceeded the expectations of the proposed research plans.</i> Significant areas of S&T conducted at the Laboratory are of <i>exceptional or outstanding merit and quality.</i> S&T conducted at the Laboratory <i>significantly impact</i> DOE or other customer missions.
	The Laboratory has achieved each of the following objectives:
B+	 The Laboratory has successfully executed proposed research plans. S&T conducted at the Laboratory are of <i>high</i> scientific merit and quality. S&T conducted at the Laboratory <i>advance</i> DOE or other customer missions.
В	 The Laboratory has successfully executed proposed research plans. S&T conducted at the Laboratory <i>advance</i> DOE or other customer missions. BUT the Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for <i>at least</i> one of the following reasons: S&T conducted at the Laboratory <i>are not uniformly of high merit and quality OR some areas of research, previously supported, have become uncompetitive OR the Laboratory does not produce sufficiently competitive proposals to receive program support at a level commensurate with its unique capabilities.</i>

	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for at least one of the following reasons:
В-	• The Laboratory has failed to successfully execute proposed research plans, but
	contingencies were in place such that no funding was or will be terminated. OR S& I
	Conducted at the Laboratory does nutle to advance DOE or other customer missions.
	• Significant areas of S&I conducted at the Laboratory are not of high merit and quality
	L aboratory do not produce sufficiently competitive proposals to receive program support
	at a level commensurate with its unique canabilities
	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for at least one of the following reasons:
	• In several significant aspects, the Laboratory failed to deliver on proposed research plans
	using available resources such that some funding was or will be terminated OR S&T
C	conducted at the Laboratory failed to contribute to DOE or other customer missions.
C	• Significant areas of S&T conducted at the Laboratory are of poor merit and quality OR
	some areas of research, previously supported, have become uncompetitive AND the
	Laboratory does not produce sufficiently competitive proposals to receive program
	support at a level commensurate with its unique capabilities.
	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for at least one of the following reasons:
	• Multiple program elements at the Laboratory failed to deliver on proposed research plans using available resources such that significant funding was or will be terminated.
	• Multiple significant areas of S&T conducted at the Laboratory are of poor merit and
D	quality OR some areas of research, previously supported, have become uncompetitive
	AND the Laboratory does not produce sufficiently competitive proposals to receive
	program support at a level commensurate with its unique capabilities.
	• S&T conducted at the Laboratory failed to contribute to DOE or other customer
	IIIISSIOIIS. The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for at least one of the following reasons:
	Multiple program elements at the Laboratory failed to deliver on proposed research
	plans using available resources resulting in total termination of funding.
	• Multiple significant areas of S&T conducted at the Laboratory are of poor merit and
Б	quality OR some areas of research, previously supported, have become uncompetitive
r	AND the Laboratory does not produce sufficiently competitive proposals to receive
	program support at a level commensurate with its unique capabilities OR the Laboratory
	has been found to have engaged in gross scientific incompetence and/or scientific fraud.
	• <i>S&T conducted at the Laboratory failed to contribute to DOE or other customer</i>
	missions.

1.2 Provide Quality Leadership in Science and Technology that Advance Community Goals and DOE Mission Goals.

- Innovativeness / Novelty of research ideas put forward by the Laboratory;
- Extent to which Laboratory staff members take on substantive or formal leadership roles in their community;
- Extent to which Laboratory staff members take on formal leadership roles in DOE, SC and/or other customer activities;
- Extent to which Laboratory staff members contribute thoughtful and thorough peer reviews and other research assessments as requested by DOE, SC or other supporting customers; and
- Extent to which Laboratory staff members champion Laboratory and Community goals to foster diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility in the work environment and in the S&T field.

The following is a sampling of factors to be considered in determining the level of performance for the Laboratory against this Objective. The evaluator(s) may consider the following as measured through progress reports, peer reviews, Field Work Proposals (FWPs), Program Office reviews/oversight, etc.:

- Willingness to pursue novel approaches and/or demonstration of innovative solutions to problems;
- Willingness to take on high-risk/high payoff/long-term research problems, evidence that previous risky decisions by the PI/research staff have proved to be correct and are paying off;
- The uniqueness and challenge of science pursued recognition for doing the best work in the field;
- Extent and quality of collaborative efforts;
- Staff members visible in leadership positions in the scientific community;
- Involvement in professional organizations, National Academies panels and workshops;
- Effectiveness in driving the direction and setting the priorities of the community in a research field;
- Success in competition for resources; and
- Extent and quality of efforts to create new opportunities for the support and mentoring of project personnel (students, postdocs, and/or research staff) from demographic backgrounds historically underrepresented in the field.

Letter Grade	Definition
A+	 In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+ Laboratory staff members have <i>leadership positions</i> in professional organizations AND <i>staff</i> has contributing role in National Academy or equivalent panels to discuss further research
	 <i>directions</i>; Laboratory staff members have <i>leadership positions</i> in DOE and/or in other supporting agencies sponsored workshops and strategic planning activities. The Laboratory program consistently produces and submits competitive proposals that challenge convention and open <i>significant new fields</i> for research that are well aligned with DOE or other supporting agency mission needs and <i>the Laboratory has a strong recognized role in setting priorities and driving the direction in key research areas</i>.
	• Laboratory staff hold <i>leadership positions</i> in multi-institutional research collaborations.
	 Laboratory staff members have <i>leadership positions</i> in professional organizations OR <i>staff has</i> contributing role in National Academy or equivalent panels to discuss further research directions:
А	• Laboratory staff members have <i>leadership positions</i> in DOE and/or other supporting agency- sponsored workshops and strategic planning activities.
	• The Laboratory program consistently submits competitive proposals that challenge convention and open <i>significant</i> new avenues for research that are well aligned with DOE or other supporting agencies mission needs.
	• Laboratory start hold <i>leadership positions</i> in multi-institutional research conaborations. The Laboratory has achieved each of the following objectives:
B+	• Laboratory staff members are <i>active participants</i> in professional organizations, committees, and activities, and take on leadership responsibilities commensurate with experience and expertise.
	 Laboratory staff members are <i>active participants</i> in DOE and/or or other supporting agencies-sponsored workshops and strategic planning activities. Laboratory staff members contribute thoughtful and thorough peer review in a timely manner.
	when requested by DOE or other supporting agencies.
	• The Laboratory program consistently provides competitive proposals that challenge convention and open new avenues for research that are well aligned with DOE or other supporting agencies mission needs.
	• Laboratory staff are <i>active participants</i> in multi-institutional research collaborations.

	• Laboratory staff members contribute thoughtful and thorough peer review in a timely manner, when requested by DOF and/or other supporting agencies
	• The Laboratory program consistently provides competitive propagale that challenge
	• The Laboratory program consistently provides competitive proposals that chancing
	convention and open new avenues for research that are well aligned with DOE and/or other
	supporting agencies mission needs.
	BUT the Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for at least one of the following reasons:
_	• Although <i>regular participants</i> in professional organizations, committees, and activities, <i>the</i>
В	extent to which staff take on leadership roles falls short of what would be expected, given the
	level of experience and expertise of the staff.
	• Although regular participants in DOE and/or other supported agencies sponsored workshops
	and strategic planning activities, the extent to which staff take on leadership roles falls short
	of what would be expected, given the level of experience and expertise of the staff.
	• Although <i>active members</i> of multi-institutional research collaborations. <i>the extent to which</i>
	staff take on leadership roles falls short of what would be expected, given the level of
	experience and expertise of the staff.
	• Laboratory staff members contribute thoughtful and thorough peer review in a timely manner.
	when requested by DOE or other supporting agencies.
	BUT the Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for <i>at least</i> one of the following reasons:
	• The Laboratory program submits competitive proposals but these either lack innovation or
	are not well aligned with DOF or other supporting agencies mission needs
	• I aboratory staff are infragment narticinants in professional organizations, committees, and
	• Laboratory start are infrequent purticipants in professional organizations, committees, and
R	activities, and the extent to which stuff take on reddership roles fails short of what would be
D-	expected, given the level of experience and expertise of the staff.
	• Laboratory stall are <i>infrequent participants</i> in DOE or other supported agencies sponsored
	workshops and strategic planning activities, and the extent to which staff take on leadership
	roles falls short of what would be expected, given the level of experience and expertise of the
	staff.
	• Although active members of multi-institutional research collaborations, the extent to which
	staff take on leadership roles falls short of what would be expected, given the level of
	experience and expertise of the staff.
	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for <i>at least</i> one of the following reasons:
	• Laboratory staff members <i>do not reliably</i> contribute thoughtful and thorough peer review in a
	timely manner, when requested by DOE or other supporting agencies.
	• Some areas of research, previously supported, are no longer competitive.
	• Laboratory staff members are infrequent participants in professional organizations,
	committees, and activities, AND the extent to which staff take on leadership roles falls short
C	of what would be expected, given the level of experience and expertise of the staff.
C	• Laboratory staff members are <i>infrequent participants</i> in DOE or other supported agencies
	sponsored workshops and strategic planning activities, and the extent to which staff take on
	leadership roles falls short of what would be expected, given the level of experience and
	expertise of the staff
	• Although Laboratory staff members are <i>active members</i> of multi-institutional research
	collaborations, the <i>extent to which staff take on leadership roles falls short of what would be</i>
	expected, given the level of experience and expertise of the staff.
	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ because the Laboratory staff are working on
D	problems that are no longer at the forefront of science and are considered mundane
	Provide the found the Laboratory staff to be guilty of guass scientific incompating $c = d/cr$
F	Review has found the Laboratory staff to be guilty of gross scientific incompetence and/or
	scientific fraua

Program Office ³		Numerical Score	Weight	Overall Score
Office of High Energy Physics				
1.1 Impact			50%	
1.2 Leadership			50%	
		Overall I	HEP Total	
Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists (WDTS)				
1.1 Impact			65%	
1.2 Leadership			35%	
		Overall WI	OTS Total	

Table 1.1 – Program Performance Goal 1.0 Score

Program Office ⁴	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Funding Weight (cost)	Overall Weighted Score
Office of High Energy Physics				
Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists				
Performance Goal 1.0 Total				

 Table 1.2 – Overall Performance Goal 1.0 Score Development²

Total Score	4.3-4.1	4.0-3.8	3.7-3.5	3.4-3.1	3.0-2.8	2.7-2.5	2.4-2.1	2.0-1.8	1.7-1.1	1.0-0.8	0.7-0
Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D	F

Tabel 1.3 - Goal; 1.0 Final Letter Grade

³ A complete listing of the Objectives weightings under the SA&T Goals for the SC Programs and other customers is provided within Attachment I to this plan

⁴ The final weights to be utilized for determining weighted scores will be determined following the end of the performance period and will be based on actual costs for fiscal year 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025).

<u>GOAL 2.0</u> Provide for Efficient and Effective Design, Fabrication, Construction and Operations of Research Facilities

The Laboratory provides effective and efficient strategic planning; fabrication, construction and/or operations of Laboratory research facilities; and are responsive to the user community.

The weight of this Goal is TBD%.

The Provide for Efficient and Effective Design, Fabrication, Construction and Operations of Research Facilities Goal shall measure the overall effectiveness and performance of the Contractor in planning for and delivering leading-edge specialty research and/or user facilities to ensure the required capabilities are present to meet todays and tomorrow's complex challenges. It also measures the Contractor's innovative operational and programmatic means for implementation of systems that ensures the availability, reliability, and efficiency of these facilities; and the appropriate balance between R&D and user support.

Each Objective within this Goal is to be assigned the appropriate numerical score by the Office of Science Program Office as identified below. The overall Goal score from each Program Office is computed by multiplying numerical scores earned by the weight of each Objective and summing them (see Table 2.1). Final weights to be utilized for determining weighted scores will be determined following the end of the performance period and will be based on actual cost for FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025).

- Office of Basic Energy Sciences (BES)
- Office of High Energy Physics (HEP)

The overall performance score and grade for this Goal will be determined by multiplying the overall score assigned by each of the offices identified above by the weightings identified for each and then summing them (see Table 2.2 below). The overall score earned is then compared to Table 2.3 to determine the overall letter grade for this Goal. Individual Program Office weightings for each of the Objectives identified below are provided within Table 2.1. The Contractor's success in meeting each Objective shall be determined based on the Contractor's performance as viewed by DOE HQ Office of Science's (SC) Program Offices for which the Laboratory conducts work. Should one or more of the HQ Program Offices choose not to provide an evaluation for this Goal and its corresponding Objectives the weighting for the remaining HQ Program Offices shall be recalculated based on their percentage of cost for FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) as compared to the total cost for those remaining HQ Program Offices.

Objectives

2.1 Provide Effective Facility Design(s) as Required to Support Laboratory Programs (i.e., activities leading up to CD-2)

- The Laboratory's delivery of accurate and timely information required to carry out the critical decision and budget formulation process;
- The Laboratory's ability to meet the intent of DOE Order 413.3, Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets;
- The extent to which the Laboratory appropriately assesses risks and contingency needs; and
- The extent to which the Laboratory is effective in its unique management role and partnership with HQ.

The following is a sampling of factors to be considered in determining the level of performance for the Laboratory against this Objective. The evaluator(s) may consider the following as measured through progress reports, peer reviews, Field Work Proposals (FWPs), Program Office reviews/oversight, etc.

- The quality of the scientific justification for proposed facilities resulting from preconceptual R&D;
- The technical quality of conceptual and preliminary designs and the credibility of the associated cost estimates
- The credibility of plans for the full life cycle of proposed facilities including financing options;
- The leveraging of existing facilities and capabilities of the DOE Laboratory complex in plans for proposed facilities; and
- The novelty and potential impact of new technologies embodied in proposed facilities.

Letter Grade	Definition
A+	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+; the Laboratory exceeds expectations in all of these categories: The Laboratory is recognized by the research community as the leader for making the science case for the acquisition; The Laboratory takes the initiative to demonstrate and thoroughly document the potential for transformational scientific advancement. Approaches proposed by the Laboratory are widely regarded as innovative, novel, comprehensive, and potentially cost-effective. Reviews repeatedly confirm strong potential for scientific discovery in areas that support the Department's mission, and potential to change a discipline or research area's direction. The Laboratory identifies, analyzes and champions novel approaches for acquiring the new capability, including leveraging or extending the capability of existing facilities and financing and these efforts result in significant cost estimate and/or risk reductions without loss or, or while enhancing capability.
A	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+, <i>all</i> of the following conditions are also met: The Laboratory is recognized by the research community as a leader for making the science case for the acquisition; The Laboratory takes the initiative to demonstrate the potential for revolutionary scientific advancement working in partnership with HQ The Laboratory identifies, analyzes, and champions, to HQ and Site office, novel approaches for acquiring the new capability, including leveraging or extending the capability of existing facilities and financing.
A-	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+, <i>all</i> of the following conditions are also met: The approaches proposed by the Laboratory are widely regarded as innovative, novel, comprehensive, and potentially cost-effective Reviews repeatedly confirm potential for scientific discovery in areas that support the Department's mission, and potential to change a discipline or research area's direction.
B+	 The Laboratory has achieved each of the following objectives: The Laboratory displays leadership and commitment in the development of quality analyses, preliminary designs, and related documentation to support the approval of the mission need (CD- 0), the alternative selection and cost range (CD-1) and the performance baseline (CD-2). Documentation requested by the programs is provided in a timely and thorough manner. The Laboratory keeps DOE appraised of the status, near-term plans and the resolution of problems on a regular basis; anticipates emerging issues that could impact plans and takes the initiative to inform DOE of possible consequences. The Laboratory solves problems and addresses issues to avoid adverse impacts to the project.

В-	The Laboratory fails to meet expectations in several of the areas listed under B+
	The Laboratory fails to meet the expectations in several of the areas listed under B+
С	AND the required analyses and documentation developed by the Laboratory are EITHER not
	innovative OR reflect a lack of commitment and leadership.
-	The Laboratory fails to meet the expectations in several of the areas listed under B+ AND the
D	Laboratory fails to provide a compelling justification for the acquisition.
	The Laboratory fails to meet the expectations in several of the areas listed under B+
F	AND the approaches proposed by the Laboratory are based on fraudulent assumptions; the
	science case is weak to non-existent, and the business case is seriously flawed.

2.2 Provide for the Effective and Efficient Construction of Facilities and/or Fabrication of Components (execution phase, post CD-2 to CD-4)

- The Laboratory's adherence to DOE Order 413.3 Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets;
- Successful fabrication of facility components by the Laboratory;
- The Laboratory's effectiveness in meeting construction schedule and budget;
- The quality of key Laboratory staff overseeing the project(s); and
- The extent to which the Laboratory maintains open, effective, and timely communication with HQ regarding issues and risks.

Letter Grade	Definition
A+	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for A, There is high confidence throughout the execution phase that the project will be completed <i>significantly</i> under budget and/or ahead of schedule while meeting or exceeding all performance baselines;
Α	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+, The Laboratory has identified and implemented practices that would allow the project scope to be <i>significantly expanded</i> if such were desirable, without impact on baseline cost or schedule; The Laboratory <i>always</i> provides <i>exemplary</i> project status reports on time to DOE and takes the initiative to communicate emerging problems or issues. Reviews identify environment, safety and health practices to be <i>exemplary</i>. There is high confidence throughout the execution phase that the project will meet its cost/schedule performance baseline;
А-	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+, The Laboratory has identified practices that would allow for the project scope to be expanded if such were desirable, without impact on baseline cost or schedule; Problems are identified and corrected by the Laboratory promptly, with no impact on scope, cost or schedule The Laboratory provides <i>particularly useful</i> project status reports on time to DOE and regularly takes the initiative to communicate emerging problems or issues. Reviews identify environment, safety and health practices to <i>exceed expectations</i>. There is high confidence throughout the execution phase that the project will meet its cost/schedule performance baseline;

	The Laboratory has achieved each of the following objectives:
	• The project meets (D_2 performance measures:
	 The Laboratory provides sustained leadership and commitment to environment, safety
	and health;
D⊥	• Reviews regularly recognize the Laboratory for being proactive in the management of
D⊤	the execution phase of the project;
	• To a large extent, problems are identified and corrected by the Laboratory with little, or
	no impact on scope, cost or schedule;
	• DOE is kept informed of project status on a regular basis; reviews regularly indicate
	project is expected to meet its cost/schedule performance baseline.
	The Laboratory provides sustained leadership and commitment to environment, safety and health RUT
B	The project foils to meet expectations in $\alpha n \alpha$ of the remaining group listed under \mathbf{R}_{\pm}
	The Laboratory provides sustained leadership and commitment to environment safety and
R	health BUT
D-	• The project fails to meet expectations in <i>several</i> of the areas listed under B+
	The Laboratory provides sustained leadership and commitment to environment, safety and
	health BUT The project fails to meet expectations in <i>several</i> of the areas listed under B+
С	AND
Ũ	Reviews indicate project remains at risk of breaching its cost/schedule performance
	baseline;
	Reports to DOE can vary in degree of completeness The uniform fails to meet and divising for D is at least one of the following energy
	The project fails to meet conditions for B^+ in at least one of the following areas:
D	• Reviews indicate project is likely to breach its cost/schedule performance baseline;
D	 Reports to DOF are largely incomplete: I aboratory commitment to the project has
	subsided.
	The project fails to meet conditions for B+ in at least one of the following areas:
	 Laboratory falsifies data during project execution phase;
	• Shows disdain for executing the project within minimal standards for environment,
F	safety or health,
	• Fails to keep DOE informed of project status;
	• Recent reviews indicate that the project is expected to breach its cost/schedule
L	performance baseline.

2.3 Provide Efficient and Effective Operation of Facilities

- The availability, reliability, performance, and efficiency of Laboratory facilities;
- The degree to which the facility is optimally arranged to support the user community;
- The extent to which Laboratory R&D is conducted to develop/expand the capabilities of the facilities;
- The Laboratory's effectiveness in balancing resources between facility R&D and user support; and,
- The quality of the process used to allocate facility time to users.

Letter Grade	Definition
A+	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+; <i>all</i> of the following conditions are also met Performance of the facility <i>exceeds</i> expectations as defined before the start of the year in all of these categories: cost of operations, users served, availability, and capability; The schedule and the costs associated with the ramp-up to steady state operations are <i>significantly less</i> than planned and are acknowledged to be 'leadership caliber' by reviews; Data on environment, safety, and health continues to be exemplary and widely regarded as among the 'best in class' The Laboratory took extraordinary means to deliver an extraordinary result for the users and the program in the performance/ review period
A	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+; <i>all</i> of the following conditions are also met Performance of the facility <i>exceeds</i> expectations as defined before the start of the year in most of these categories: cost of operations, users served, availability, and capability; The schedule and the costs associated with the ramp-up to steady state operations are <i>less</i> than planned and are acknowledged to be 'leadership caliber' byreviews; Data on environment, safety, and health continues to be <i>exemplary</i> and widely regarded as among the 'best in class.'
A-	 In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+, <i>one</i> of the following conditions is met: Performance of the facility <i>exceeds</i> expectations as defined before the start of the year in any of these categories: cost of operations, users served, availability, and capability; The schedule and the costs associated with the ramp-up to steady state operations are <i>less</i> than planned and are acknowledged to be among the best by reviews;
B+	 The Laboratory has achieved each of the following objectives: Performance of the facility <i>meets</i> expectations as defined before the start of the year in all of these categories: cost of operations, users served, availability, capability (for example, beam delivery, luminosity, peak performance, etc.), The schedule and the costs associated with the ramp-up to steady state operations occur as planned; Data on environment, safety, and health continues to be very good as compared with other projects in the DOE. User surveys meet program expectations and reflect that the Laboratory is responsive to user needs.
В	The project fails to meet expectations in <i>one</i> of the areas listed under B+
B-	The project fails to meet expectations in <i>more than one</i> of the areas listed under B+.
С	 Performance of the facility fails to meet expectations in <i>many</i> of the areas listed under B+; for example, The cost of operations is unexpectedly high, and availability of the facility is unexpectedly low, the number of users is unexpectedly low, capability is well below expectations. The facility operates at steady state, on cost and on schedule, but the reliability of performance is somewhat below planned values, <u>or</u> the facility operates at steady state, but the associated schedule and costs exceed planned values. Commitment to environment, safety, and health is satisfactory.
D	 Performance of the facility fails to meet expectations in <i>many</i> of the areas listed under B+; for example, The cost of operations is unexpectedly high, and availability of the facility is unexpectedly low; capability is well below expectations. The facility operates somewhat below steady state, on cost and on schedule, and the reliability of performance is somewhat below planned values, <u>or</u> the facility operates at steady state, but the associated schedule and costs exceed planned values. Commitment to environment, safety, and health is inadequate.
F	 The facility fails to operate; the facility operates well below steady state and/or the reliability of the performance is well below planned values Laboratory commitment to environment, safety, and health issues is inadequate.

2.4 Utilization of Facility(s) to Provide Impactful S&T Results and Benefits to External User Communities

- The extent to which the facility is being used to perform influential science;
- The Laboratory's efforts to take full advantage of the facility to generate impactful S&Tresults;
- The extent to which the facility is strengthened by a resident Laboratory research community that pushes the envelope of what the facility can do and/or are among the scientific leaders of the community;
- The Laboratory's ability to appropriately balance access by internal and external user communities; and
- The extent to which there is a healthy program of outreach to the scientific community.

Letter Grade	Definition
	In addition to meeting all measures under A,
A+	• The Laboratory took extraordinary means to deliver an extraordinary result for a new user community.
	In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+; all of the following conditions are met
	• An <i>aggressive</i> outreach programs is in place and has been documented as attracting new communities to the facility;
А	• Reviews consistently find that the facility capability or scope of research potential <i>significantly</i> exceeds expectations for example, due to newly discovered capabilities or exposure to new research communities; OR Reviews find that multiple disciplines are using the facility in new and novel ways that the facility is being used to pursue influential science.
	In addition to satisfying all conditions for B+, all of the following conditions are met
	• A <i>strong</i> outreach program is in place;
A-	• Reviews find that the facility capability or scope of research potential exceeds expectations for example, due to newly discovered capabilities or exposure to new research communities; OR Reviews document how multiple disciplines are using the facility in new and novel ways and/or that the facility is being used to pursue important science.
	The Laboratory has achieved each of the following objectives:
	• Reviews find / validate that the facility is being used for influential science;
-	• The scope of facility capabilities is challenged and broadened by resident users;
B+	• The Laboratory effectively manages user allocations;
	• The Laboratory effectively maintains the facility to required performance standards (for
	• A healthy outreach program is in place
B	The Laboratory fails to meet expectations in <i>one</i> of the areas listed under B+
B-	The Laboratory fails to meet expectations in <i>one</i> of the areas listed under B ⁺
C	The Laboratory fails to meet expectations in <i>many</i> of the areas listed under B+
D	Reviews find that there are few facility users, few of whom are using the facility in novel
U	ways to impactful science; research base is very thin.
F	Laboratory staff does not possess capabilities to operate and/or use the facility adequately

Notable Outcomes

• <u>HEP:</u>

Effectively manage and safely execute the assigned project scope for LBNF/DUNE Excavation subproject, LBNF/DUNE BSI subproject, PIP II project, HL-LHC AUP project, and HL-LHC CMS project in accordance with DOE Order 413.3B. Performance will be assessed based on execution of baseline scope through September 30, 2025. (Objective 2.2)

• <u>BES:</u>

Effectively manage and safely execute the assigned LCLS-II-HE project scope in accordance with DOE Order 413.3B. Performance will be assessed based on the assigned project management responsibilities and cryomodule work planned and accomplished through September 30, 2025. (Objective 2.2)

Program Office ⁵	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Weight	Overall Score
Office of Basic Energy Sciences				
2.1 Provide Effective Facility Design(s)			0%	
2.2 Provide for the Effective and Efficient Construction of Facilities and/or Fabrication of Components			100%	
2.3 Provide Efficient and Effective Operation of Facilities			0%	
2.4 Utilization of Facility(s) to Provide Impactful S&T Results and Benefits to External User Communities			0%	
		Overall B	ES Total	
Office of High Energy Physics				
2.1 Provide Effective Facility Design(s)			10%	
2.2 Provide for the Effective and Efficient Construction of Facilities and/or Fabrication of Components			75%	
2.3 Provide Efficient and Effective Operation of Facilities			15%	
2.4 Utilization of Facility(s) to Provide Impactful S&T Results and Benefits to External User Communities			0%	
		Overall H	IEP Total	

 Table 2.1 – Program Performance Goal 2.0 Score Development

⁵ A complete listing of Objectives weightings under the S&T Goals for the SC programs and other customers is provided within Attachment I to this plan.

Program Office	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Funding Weight (cost)	Overall Weighted Score
Office of Basic Energy Sciences				
Office of High Energy Physics				
Performance Goal 2.0 Total				

	Table 2.2 –	Overall	Performance	Goal 2.0	Score	Development ⁶
--	--------------------	---------	-------------	----------	-------	---------------------------------

Total	4.3-	4.0-	3.7-	3.4-	3.0-	2.7-	2.4-	2.0-	1.7-	1.0-	0.7-0
Score	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.1	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.1	0.8	
Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D	F

Table 2.3 – Goal 2.0 Final Letter Grade

⁶ The final weights to be utilized for determining weighted scores will be determined following the end of the performance period and will be based on actual costs for fiscal year 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025).

<u>GOAL 3.0</u> Provide Effective and Efficient Science and Technology Program Management

The Laboratory provides effective program vision and leadership; strategic planning and development of initiatives; recruits and retains a quality scientific workforce; and provides outstanding research processes, which improve research productivity.

The weight of this Goal is 25%.

The Provide Effective and Efficient Science and Technology Program Management Goal shall measure the Contractor's overall management in executing S&T programs. Dimensions of program management covered include: 1) providing key competencies to support research programs to include key staffing requirements; 2) providing quality research plans that take into account technical risks, identify actions to mitigate risks; and 3) maintaining effective communications with customers to include providing quality responses to customer needs.

Each Objective within this Goal is to be assigned the appropriate numerical score by the Office of Science, other cognizant HQ Program Offices, and other customers as identified below. The overall Goal score from each HQ Program Office and/or customer is computed by multiplying numerical scores earned by the weight of each Objective and summing them (see Table 3.1). The final weights to be utilized for determining weighted scores will be determined following the end of the performance period and will be based on actual cost for FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) provided by the Program Offices listed below.

- Office of High Energy Physics (HEP)
- Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists (WDTS)

The overall performance score and grade for this Goal will be determined by multiplying the overall score assigned by each of the offices identified above by the weightings identified for each and then summing them (see Table 3.2 below). The overall score earned is then compared to Table 3.3 to determine the overall letter grade for this Goal. The Contractor's success in meeting each Objective shall be determined based on the Contractor's performance as viewed by the Office of Science, other cognizant HQ Program Offices, and other customers for which the Laboratory conducts work. Should one or more of the HQ Program Offices choose not to provide an evaluation for this Goal and its corresponding Objectives the weighting for the remaining HQ Program Offices shall be recalculated based on their percentage of cost for FY 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025), as compared to the total cost for those remaining HQ Program Offices.

Objectives

3.1 Provide Effective and Efficient Strategic Planning and Stewardship of Scientific Capabilities and Program Vision

- The quality of the Laboratory's strategic plan;
- The extent to which the Laboratory shows strategic vision for research
- The extent to which programs of research take advantage of Laboratory capabilities—research programs are more than the sum of their individual project parts;
- The extent to which the Laboratory undertakes research for which it is uniquely qualified;
- The extent to which lab plans are aligned with DOE or other supporting agency mission goals;
- The extent to which the Laboratory programs are balanced between high-/low- risk research for a sustainable program; and
- The extent to which the Laboratory is able to retain and recruit staff for a sustainable program

The following is a sampling of factors to be considered in determining the level of performance for the Laboratory against this Objective. The evaluator(s) may consider the following as measured through progress reports, peer reviews, Field Work Proposals (FWPs), Program Office reviews/oversight, etc.

- Articulation of scientific vision;
- Development and maintenance of core competencies;
- Ability to attract and retain highly qualified staff;
- Efficiency and effectiveness of joint planning (e.g., workshops) with outside community;
- Creativity and robustness of ideas for new facilities and research programs; and
- Willingness to take on high-risk/high payoff/long-term research problems, evidence that the Laboratory "guessed right" in that previous risky decisions proved to be correct and are paying off.
- The depth and breadth of Laboratory research portfolio and its potential for growth.

Letter Grade	Definition
A+	 In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+, the execution of the Laboratory's strategic plan has enabled the Laboratory to achieve each of the following: <i>Most</i> of the Laboratory's core competencies are recognized as world leading; The Laboratory has attracted and retained world-leading scientists in <i>most</i> programs; There is evidence that previous decisions to pursue high-risk/high-payoff research proved to be correct and are paying off; The Laboratory has succeeded in developing new core competencies of <i>outstanding</i> quality in areas both exploratory, high-risk research and research that is vital to the DOE/SC or other supporting department or agency missions;
А	 In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+, the execution of the Laboratory's strategic plan has enabled the Laboratory to achieve the following: Several of the Laboratory's core competencies are recognized as world leading; The Laboratory has attracted and retained world-leading scientists in several programs; There is evidence that previous decisions to pursue high-risk/high-payoff research proved to be correct and are paying off The Laboratory has succeeded in developing new core competencies of high quality in areas both exploratory, high-risk research and research that is vital to the DOE/SC/other supporting departments or agency missions.
A-	 In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+, the execution of the Laboratory's strategic plan has enabled the Laboratory to achieve at least one of the following: At least one of the Laboratory's core competencies is recognized as <i>world-leading</i>; The Laboratory has attracted and retained <i>world-leading</i> scientists in one or more programs; The Laboratory has a coherent plan for addressing future workforce challenges.
B+	 The execution of the Laboratory's strategic plan has enabled the Laboratory to achieve each of the following objectives: The Laboratory has articulated a coherent and compelling strategic plan that has been developed with input from external research communities and headquarters guidance, which, where appropriate, includes a coherent plan for building smaller research programs into new core competencies; and reallocates resources away from less effective programs. The Laboratory has demonstrated the ability to attract and retain professional scientific staff in support of its strategic vision. The portfolio of Laboratory research balances the needs for both high-risk/ high-payoff research and stewardship of mission-critical research. The Laboratory's research portfolio takes advantage of unique capabilities at the Laboratory is uniquely capable.

	The Laboratory fails to satisfy one of the conditions for B+: for example
	• The Laboratory's strategic plan is only <i>nartially</i> coherent and is not entirely well
	connected with external communities.
R	• The portfolio of Laboratory research does <i>not</i> appropriately balance high-risk/ high-
D	• The portion of Eaboratory research does <i>not</i> appropriately balance high-lisk/ high-
	• The Laboratory has developed and maintained some but not all of its core competencies
	• The plan to attract and retain professional scientific staff is <i>lacking</i> strategic vision
	The Laboratory fails to satisfy several of the conditions for B+ including at least one of the
	following:
	• Weak programmatic vision insufficiently connected with external communities:
B-	• Development and maintenance of only a few core competencies
	• Little attention to maintaining the correct balance between high-risk and mission-critical
	research;
	• Inability to attract and retain talented scientists in some programs.
	The Laboratory fails to satisfy <i>several</i> of the conditions for B+, including at least one of the
	following reasons:
	• The Laboratory's strategic plan lacks strategic vision and lacks appropriate coordination
C	with appropriate stakeholders including external research groups.
C	• The Laboratory's strategic plan does not provide for sufficient maintenance of core
	competencies
	• Plan to attract and retain professional scientific staff is unlikely to be successful or does
	not focus on strategic capabilities.
	The Laboratory fails to satisfy <i>several</i> of the conditions for B+, and specifically
	• The Laboratory has demonstrated little effort in developing a strategic plan.
D	• The Laboratory has done little to develop and maintain core competencies
	• The Laboratory has had minimal success in attracting and retaining professional scientific
	staff.
	A Made limited or ineffective attempts to develop a strotegicaller:
Б	 Not demonstrated the ability to develop and maintain core compatencies, has failed to
Г	• Not demonstrated the ability to develop and maintain core competencies, has failed to
	• Failed to attract even reasonably competent scientists and technical staff
	Tanea to adduct oven reasonably competent belonds is and technical suit.

3.2 Provide Effective and Efficient Science and Technology Project/Program/Facilities Management

- The Laboratory's management of R&D programs and facilities according to proposed plans;
- The extent to which the Laboratory's management of projects/programs/facilities supports the Laboratory strategic plan
- Adequacy of the Laboratory's consideration of technical risks;
- The extent to which the Laboratory is successful in identifying/avoiding technical problems;
- Effectiveness in leveraging across multiple areas of research and between research and facility capabilities;
- The extent to which the Laboratory demonstrates a willingness to make tough decisions (i.e., cut programs with sub-critical mass of expertise, divert resources to more promising areas, etc.); and
- The use of LDRD and other Laboratory investments and overhead funds to improve the competitiveness of the Laboratory.

The following is a sampling of factors to be considered in determining the level of performance for the Laboratory against this Objective. The evaluator(s) may consider the following as measured through progress reports, peer reviews, Field Work Proposals (FWPs), Program Office reviews/oversight, etc.

• Laboratory plans that are reviewed by experts outside of lab management and/or include broadly- based input from within the Laboratory.

Letter Grade	Definition
A+	 In addition to meeting all expectations under A, The Laboratory has taken extraordinary measures to deliver an extraordinary result of critical importance to DOE or other relevant supporting agency missions, which could include the delivery of a critical technology or insight in response to a National emergency
A	 In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+, The Laboratory's implementation of project/program/facility plans has led directly to effective R&D programs/facility operations that exceed program expectations in <i>several</i> programmatic areas. Examples are listed under A
A-	 In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+, The Laboratory's implementation of project/program/facility plans has led directly to effective R&D programs/facility operations that exceed program expectations in <i>more than one</i> programmatic area. Examples of performance that exceeds expectations include: The Laboratory's implementation of project/program/facility plans has led directly to significant cost savings and/or significantly higher productivity than expected; Project/program/facility plans prove to be robust against changing scientific and fiscal conditions through contingency planning; The Laboratory has demonstrated creativity and forceful leadership in development and/or proactive management of its project/program/facility plans to reduce or eliminate risk; The Laboratory's proposals for new initiatives are funded through reallocation of resources from less effective programs. Research plans and management actions are proactive, not reactive, as evidenced by making hard decisions and taking strong actions; and Management is prepared for budget fluctuations and changes in DOE or other supporting agency programpriorities – multiple contingencies are planned for; and LDRD investments, overhead funds, and other Laboratory funds are used to strengthen lab plans and fill critical gaps in the Laboratory portfolio enabling it to respond to future DOE or other relevant supporting agency initiatives and/or national emergencies.
B ⁺	 The Laboratory has achieved each of the following objectives: Project/program/facility plans exist for all major projects/programs/facilities. Project/program/facility plans are consistent with known budgets, are based on reasonable assessments of technical risk, are well-aligned with DOE or other relevant supporting agency interests, provide sufficient flexibility to respond to unforeseen directives and opportunities, and effectively leverage other Laboratory resources and expertise. The Laboratory has implemented the project/program/facility plans and has effective methods of tracking progress. The Laboratory demonstrates willingness to make tough decisions (i.e., cut programs with sub- critical mass of expertise, divert resources to more promising areas, etc.). The Laboratory's implementation of project/program/facility plans has led directly to effective R&D programs/facility operations. LDRD investments and other overhead funds are managed appropriately.
В	 Project/program/facility plans exist for all major projects/programs/facilities. The Laboratory has implemented the project/program/facility plans. BUT the Laboratory fails to meet at least one of the conditions for B+

	Project/program/facility plans exist for all major projects/programs/facilities.
B-	• The Laboratory has implemented the project/program/facility plans.
	BUT the Laboratory fails to meet <i>several of</i> the conditions for B+.
	• Project/program/facility plans exist for most major projects/programs/facilities.
С	BUT the Laboratory has failed to implement the project/program/facility plans AND the
	Laboratory fails to meet <i>several of</i> the conditions for B+.
	• Project/program/facility plans do not exist for a significant fraction of the Laboratory's
	major projects/programs/facilities;
D	OR
	• Significant work at the Laboratory is not in alignment with the project/program/facility
	plans
F	The Laboratory has failed to conduct project/program/facility planning activities.

3.3 Provide Efficient and Effective Communications and Responsiveness to Headquarters Needs

- The quality, accuracy and timeliness of the Laboratory's response to customer requests for information;
- The extent to which the Laboratory provides point-of-contact resources and maintains effective internal communications hierarchies to facilitate efficient determination of the appropriate point-of-contact for a given issue or program element;
- The effectiveness of the Laboratory's communications and depth of responsiveness under extraordinary or critical circumstances; and
- The effectiveness of Laboratory management in accentuating the importance of communication and responsiveness.

Letter Grade	Definition
A +	 In addition to meeting all expectations under A, The Laboratory's effective communication and extraordinary responsiveness in the face of extreme situations or a national emergency had a materially positive impact on the outcome of the event and/or DOE or other relevant supporting agency's mission objectives
A	 In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+, the Laboratory also meets all of the following: Laboratory management has instilled a culture throughout the lab that emphasizes good communication practices; Communication channels are well-defined, and information is effectively conveyed; Responses to HQ requests for information from all Laboratory representatives are prompt, thorough, correct and succinct; important or critical information is delivered in real-time; Laboratory representatives <i>always</i> initiate a communication with HQ on emerging Laboratory issues; headquarters is never surprised to learn of emerging Laboratory issues through outside channels.
A-	 In addition to satisfying the conditions for B+, Laboratory management has instilled a culture throughout the lab that emphasizes good communication practices; Responses to requests for information are prompt, thorough, and economical/succinct at all levels of interaction; Laboratory representatives <i>often</i> initiate communication with HQ on emerging Laboratory issues; and under critical circumstances, essential information is delivered in real-time

	The Laboratory has achieved each of the following objectives:
	• Staff throughout the Laboratory organization engage in good communication practices;
B ⁺	• Responses to requests for information are prompt and thorough;
	• The accuracy and integrity of the information provided is never in doubt;
	• Up-to-date point-of-contact information is widely available for all programmatic areas;
	and Headquarters is always and promptly informed of both positive and negative
	events at the Laboratory
B	The Laboratory failed to meet the conditions for B+ <i>in a few instances</i>
	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for <i>one</i> of the following reasons:
	• Responses to requests for information do not provide the minimum requirements to
R-	meet HQ needs; While the integrity of the information provided is never in doubt, its
D	accuracy sometimes is;
	• Laboratory representatives do not take the initiative to alert HQ to emerging
	Laboratory issues.
	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for <i>one or more</i> of the following
	Personance to requests for information frequently fail to movide the minimum
	Responses to requests for information frequently fail to provide the minimum
	The Laboratory used outside abarrals or singurguanted IIO in conversion a critical
С	• The Laboratory used outside channels of circumvented FiQ in conveying critical information:
	 The integrity and/or accuracy of information provided is sometimes in doubt:
	• Laboratory management fails to demonstrate that its employees are held accountable
	for ensuring effective communication and responsiveness:
	• Laboratory representatives failed to alert HQ to emerging Laboratory issues.
	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for one of the following reasons:
	• Laboratory staff are generally well-intentioned in communication but consistently
D	ineffective and/or incompetent;
	• The Laboratory management fails to emphasize the importance of effective
	communication and responsiveness
	The Laboratory fails to meet the conditions for B+ for one of the following reasons
	• Laboratory staff are openly hostile and/or non-responsive to requests for information –
F	emails and phone calls are consistently ignored;
	Responses to requests for information are consistently incorrect, inaccurate or
	fraudulent – information is not organized, is incomplete, or is fabricated.

Notable Outcomes

• <u>HEP:</u>

Determine the cause of repeated funding shortfalls in the Accelerator Test Facilities (ATF) and develop a new business model that provides cost stability and fair access for all users of the ATF. The new model should be presented to HEP by August 1, 2025, so HEP can implement any needed funding changes by FY 2026. (Objective 3.2)

Program Office ⁷	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Weight	Overall Score
Office of High Energy Physics				
3.1 Effective and Efficient Strategic Planning and Stewardship			30%	
3.2 Project/Program /Facilities Management			50%	
3.3 Communications and Responsiveness			20%	
Overall HEP Total				
Office of Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists				
3.1 Effective and Efficient Strategic Planning and Stewardship			15%	
3.2 Project/Program /Facilities Management			35%	
3.3 Communications and Responsiveness			50%	
Overall WDTS Total				

Table 3.1 – Program Performance Goal 3.0 Score Development

HQ Program Office	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Funding Weight (cost)	Overall Weighted Score
Office of High Energy Physics				
Office of Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists				
Performance Goal 3.0 Total	•			

 Table 3.2 – Overall Performance Goal 3.0 Score Development⁸

Total	4.3-	4.0-	3.7-	3.4-	3.0-	2.7-	2.4-	2.0-	1.7-	1.0-	0.7-0
Score	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.1	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.1	0.8	
Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D	F

Table 3.3 – Goal 3.0 Final Letter Grade

⁷ A complete listing of the Objectives weights under the S&T Goals for the SC Programs and other customers is provided withing Attachment I of this plan.

⁸ The final weights to be utilized for determining weighted scores will be determined following the end of the performance period and will be based on actual costs for fiscal year 2025 (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025).

Program Office Goal & Objective Weightings - Office of Science

	BES	НЕР	WDTS
	Weight	Weight	Weight
Goal 1.0 Mission Accomplishment	N/A	TBD	TBD
1.1 Impact	0%	50%	65%
1.2 Leadership	0%	50%	35%
Goal 2.0 Design, Fabrication, Construction and Operation of Facilities	TBD	TBD	N/A
2.1 Design of Facility (the initiation phase and the definition phase, i.e. activities leading up to CD-2)	0%	10%	N/A
2.2 Construction of Facility / Fabrication of Components (execution phase, Post CD-2 to CD-4)	100%	75%	N/A
2.3 Operation of Facility	0%	15%	N/A
2.4 Utilization of Facility to Grow and Support Lab's Research Base and External User Community	0%	0%	N/A
Goal 3.0 Program Management	N/A	TBD	TBD
3.1 Effective and Efficient Strategic Planning and Stewardship	N/A	30%	15%
3.2 Project/Program/Facilities Management	N/A	50%	35%
3.3 Communications and Responsiveness	N/A	20%	50%

<u>GOAL 4.0</u> Provide Sound and Competent Leadership and Stewardship of the Laboratory

This Goal evaluates the Contractor's Leadership capabilities in leading the direction of the overall Laboratory, the responsiveness of the Contractor to issues and opportunities for continuous improvement, and corporate office involvement/commitment to the overall success of the Laboratory.

In measuring the performance of the above Objectives, the DOE evaluator(s) shall consider performance trends and outcomes in overall Contractor Leadership's planning for, integration of, responsiveness to and support for the overall success of the Laboratory. This may include, but is not limited to, the quality of Laboratory Vision/Mission strategic planning documentation and progress in realizing the Laboratory vision/mission; the ability to establish and maintain long-term partnerships/relationships with the scientific and local communities as well as private industry that advance, expand, and benefit the ongoing Laboratory mission(s) and/or provide new opportunities/capabilities; implementation of a robust assurance system; Laboratory leadership facilitate and effectively manage external engagements and partnerships; Laboratory and Corporate Office Leadership's ability to instill responsibility and accountability down and through the entire organization; overall effectiveness of communications with DOE; understanding, management and allocation of the costs of doing business at the Laboratory commensurate with associated risks and benefits; utilization of corporate resources to establish joint appointments or other programs/projects/activities to strengthen the Laboratory; and advancing excellence in stakeholder relations to include good corporate citizenship within the local community.

Objectives:

4.1 Leadership and Stewardship of the Laboratory

By which we mean: The performance of the laboratory's senior management team as demonstrated by their ability to do such things as:

- Define an exciting yet realistic scientific vision for the future of the laboratory,
- Make progress in realizing the vision for the laboratory,
- Establish and maintain long-term partnerships/relationships that maintain appropriate relations with the scientific and local communities, and
- Develop and leverage appropriate relations with private industry to the benefit of the laboratory and the U.S. taxpayer.

Letter Grade	Definition
A +	The Senior Leadership of the laboratory has made outstanding progress (on an order of magnitude scale) over the previous year in realizing their vision for the laboratory and has had a demonstrable impact on the Department and the Nation. Strategic plans are of outstanding quality, have been externally recognized and referenced for their excellence, and have an impact on the vision/plans of other national laboratories. The Senior leadership of the laboratory may have been faced very difficult challenges and plotted, successfully, its own course through the difficulty, with minimal hand-holding by the Department. Partners in the scientific and local communities applaud the laboratory in national fora, and the Department is strengthened by this.
А	The Senior Leadership of the laboratory has made significant progress over the previous year in realizing their vision for the laboratory and has through this has had a demonstrable positive impact on the Office of Science and the Department. Strategic plans are of outstanding quality and recognize and reflect the vision/plans of other national laboratories. Faced with difficult challenges, actions were taken by the Senior leadership of the laboratory to redirect laboratory activities to enhance the long-term future of the laboratory. Partners in the scientific and local communities applaud the laboratory in national fora, and the Department is strengthened by this.

A-	The laboratory senior management performs better than expected (B+ grade) in these areas.
B+	The Senior Leadership of the laboratory has made significant progress over the previous year in realizing their vision for the laboratory. Strategic plans present long range goals that are both exciting and realistic. Decisions and actions taken by the lab leadership align work, facilities, equipment and technical capabilities with the laboratory vision and plan. The Senior leadership of the laboratory faced difficult challenges and successfully plotted its own course through the difficulty, with help from the Department. Partners in the scientific and local communities are supportive of the laboratory.
В	The Senior Leadership of the laboratory has made little progress over the previous year in realizing their vision for the laboratory. Strategic plans present long range goals that are exciting and realistic; however DOE is not fully confident that the laboratory is taking the actions necessary for the goals to be achieved. The Laboratory is not fully engaged with its partners/relationships in the scientific and local communities to maximize the potential benefits these relations have for the laboratory.
С	The Senior Leadership of the laboratory has made no progress over the previous year in realizing their vision for the laboratory or aligning work, facilities, equipment and technical capabilities with the laboratory vision and plan. Strategic plans present long range goals that are either unexciting or unrealistic. Business plans exist, but they are not linked to the strategic plan and do not inspire DOE's confidence that the strategic goals will be achieved. Partnerships with the scientific and local communities with potential to advance the laboratory exist, but they may not always be consistent with the mission of or vision for the laboratory.
D	The Senior Leadership of the laboratory has made no progress or has back-slid over the previous year in realizing their vision for the laboratory or in aligning work, facilities, equipment and technical capabilities with the laboratory vision and plan. Strategic plans present long range goals that are neither exciting nor realistic. Partnerships that may advance the Laboratory towards strategic goals are inappropriate, unidentified, or unlikely. Affected communities and stakeholders are not adequately engaged with the laboratory and indicate non-alignment with DOE priorities.
F	The Senior Leadership of the laboratory has made no progress or has back-slid over the previous year in realizing their vision for the laboratory or in or aligning work, facilities, equipment and technical capabilities with the laboratory vision and plan. Strategic plans present long range goals that are not aligned with DOE priorities or the mission of the laboratory. Partnerships that may advance the Laboratory towards strategic goals are inappropriate, unidentified, and unlikely, and/or the senior management team does not demonstrate a concerted effort to develop, leverage, and maintain relations with the scientific and local communities to assist the laboratory in achieving a successful future. Affected communities and stakeholders are openly non-supportive of the laboratory and DOE priorities.

4.2 Management and Operation of the Laboratory

By which we mean: The performance of the laboratory's senior management team as demonstrated by their ability to do such things as:

- Implement a robust contractor assurance system,
- Understand the costs of doing business at the laboratory and prioritize the management and allocation of these costs commensurate with their associated risks and benefits,
- Instill a culture of accountability and responsibility down and through the entire organization;
- Ensure good and timely communication between the laboratory and SC headquarters and the Site Office so that DOE can deal effectively with both internal and external constituencies.

Letter Grade	Definition
A+	The laboratory has a nationally or internationally recognized contractor assurance system in place that integrates internal and external (corporate) evaluation processes to evaluate risk and is working to help others internal and external to the Department establish similarly outstanding practices. The laboratory understands the drivers of cost at their lab and are prioritizing and managing these costs commensurate with the associated risks and benefits to the laboratory and the SC laboratory system. Laboratory management and processes reflect a sense of accountability and responsibility with is evident down and through the entire organization. Communication between the laboratory and SC headquarters and the Site Office is such that all the national laboratories and the Department as a whole benefit.
A	The laboratory has improved dramatically in the last year (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025) in all of the following: building a robust and transparent contractor assurance system that integrates internal and external (corporate) evaluation processes to evaluate risk; demonstrating the use of this system in making decisions that are aligned with the laboratory's vision and strategic plan; understanding the drivers of cost at their lab, and prioritizing and managing these costs consistent with their associated risks and benefits to the laboratory and the SC laboratory system; demonstrating laboratory management and processes reflect a sense of accountability and responsibility with is evident down and through the entire organization; assuring communication between the laboratory and SC headquarters that is beneficial to both the lab and SC.
A-	The laboratory senior management performs better than expected (B+ grade) in these areas.
B+	The laboratory has a robust and transparent contractor assurance system in place that integrates internal and external (corporate) evaluation processes to evaluate risk. The laboratory can demonstrate use of this system in making decisions that are aligned with the laboratory's vision and strategic plan. The laboratory understands the drivers of cost at their lab and are prioritizing and managing these costs commensurate with the associated risks and benefits to the laboratory and the SC laboratory system. Laboratory management and processes reflect a sense of accountability and responsibility with is evident down and through the entire organization. Communication between the laboratory and SC headquarters and the Site Office is such that there are no surprises or embarrassments.
В	The laboratory has a contractor assurance system in place, but further improvements are necessary, or the link between the CAS and the laboratory's decision-making processes are not evident. The laboratory understands the drivers of cost at their lab, but they are not prioritizing and managing these costs as well as they should to be commensurate with the associated risks and benefits to the laboratory and the SC laboratory system. Laboratory management and processes reflect a sense of accountability and responsibility with is mostly evident down and through the entire organization. Communication between the laboratory and SC headquarters and the Site Office is such that there are no significant surprises or embarrassments.
С	The laboratory lacks a robust and transparent contractor assurance system in place that integrates internal and external (corporate) evaluation processes to evaluate risk. The laboratory cannot demonstrate use of this system in making decisions that are aligned with the laboratory's vision and strategic plan. The laboratory does not fully understand the drivers of cost at their lab, and thus are not prioritizing and managing these costs as well as they should to be commensurate with the associated risks and benefits to the laboratory and the SC laboratory system. Communication between the laboratory and SC headquarters and the Site Office is such that there has been at least one significant surprise or embarrassment.
D	The laboratory lacks a contractor assurance system, doesn't understand the drivers of cost at their lab, and is not prioritizing and managing costs. SC HQ must intercede in management decisions. Poor communication between the laboratory and SC headquarters and the Site Office has resulted in more than one significant surprise or embarrassment.
F	Lack of management by the laboratory's senior management has put the future of the laboratory at risk or has significantly hurt the reputation of the Office of Science.

4.3 Advancing Laboratory Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Accessibility

By which we mean: The performance of the laboratory's senior management team as demonstrated by their ability to do such things as:

- Implement an effective laboratory-wide diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility (DEIA) strategy that is data-driven and grounded in evidence-based practices and shows measurable progress towards achieving DEIA goals.
- Understand the laboratories' DEIA challenges and opportunities for improvement through multiple methods of engaging personnel (laboratory staff, students, and visiting researchers), and internal and external reviews.
- Foster a culture at the laboratory that encourages all personnel to value a diversity of people, ideas, cultures, and backgrounds and that attracts and retains diverse personnel and promotes a sense of belonging.
- Hold all personnel accountable for conducting themselves in a manner that is respectful, ethical, and professional and address issues through timely, fair, and transparent processes.

Letter Grade	Definition
A +	The laboratory has made outstanding progress year over year in advancing its DEIA goals and objectives and can demonstrate, with data, progress in the areas of respectful and inclusive laboratory culture, attracting and retaining a diverse workforce, and equitable decision making. Internal and external review processes provide evidence that the laboratory's actions are directly contributing to an inclusive, positive, respectful, and professional laboratory culture. The laboratory is attracting and retaining an increasingly diverse workforce across a number of job categories and across its STEM training programs. The laboratory's senior managers are externally recognized as champions of DEIA in their respective fields. The laboratory has been externally recognized and referenced for their excellence in advancing DEIA in the workplace.
A	The laboratory has made significant progress over the previous year in advancing its DEIA goals and objectives and can demonstrate progress in a number of areas with data. Decisions and actions taken by the lab senior management are informed by evidence-based practices and demonstrate that DEIA principles are foundational to advancing the laboratory's S&T strategy. Processes established across the laboratory reflect a sense of responsibility and accountability for DEIA across the laboratory at all levels of management. Internal and external review processes are providing evidence that the laboratory's actions are contributing to an inclusive, positive, respectful, and professional laboratory culture. The laboratory is attracting and retaining an increasingly diverse workforce in a number of job categories, including in the lab's STEM training programs.
A-	The laboratory senior management performs better than expected (B+ grade) in these areas.
B+	The laboratory has made significant progress over the previous year in advancing its DEIA goals and objectives and can demonstrate this progress with data. The laboratory's senior management are clear champions of DEIA, which is evident in their communications and in their actions. The laboratory understands its primary DEIA challenges, and major actions taken aligned with the lab's DEIA strategy are directly addressing those challenges. The laboratory's internal and external review processes are effective at informing how the laboratory culture. Decisions and actions taken by the lab senior management demonstrate that DEIA principles are integrating into laboratory work and decision-making. The laboratory is attracting and retaining an increasingly diverse workforce.

В	The laboratory has made little progress over the previous year in advancing its DEIA goals and objectives. The laboratory has clearly articulated its DEIA challenges; however, DOE is not fully confident that the actions taken by the laboratory are sufficiently aligned to address the DEIA challenges. The laboratory has internal and external review processes for assessing laboratory culture, however the laboratory is slow to respond to the DEIA related feedback from DOE-led reviews. Decisions and actions taken by the lab senior management show support for DEIA principles, however DOE is not fully confident that DEIA principles are integrating into laboratory work and decision-making. The laboratory has made little progress in attracting and/or retaining an increasingly diverse workforce.
С	The laboratory has made no visible progress over the previous year in advancing its DEIA goals and objectives, and the lab lacks processes that support a data-driven approach for measuring progress. The laboratory has articulated a set of DEIA challenges, but DOE is not confident the laboratory has conducted the evaluations necessary to fully assess the lab's DEIA challenges as experienced by laboratory personnel. The laboratory's internal and external review processes are inadequate for assessing whether the lab is supporting an inclusive, positive, and professional laboratory culture, and/or the laboratory is unresponsive to the DEIA related feedback from DOE-led reviews. The laboratory's senior management are champions of DEIA in their communications, but laboratory management and staff are not held accountable for implementation of the laboratory's DEIA goals. The laboratory has made no progress in attracting and/or retaining an increasingly diverse workforce.
D	The laboratory has made no progress or has backslid over the previous year in advancing its DEIA goals and objectives. The laboratory blames external factors (e.g., geographic location, competition with industry, pipeline challenges) as its primary DEIA challenges rather than recognizing the DEIA challenges that exist within the laboratory's control, resulting in a lab DEIA strategy that is unlikely guide leadership and staff in advancing DEIA at the laboratory. Decision-making processes regarding hires, promotions, professional and leadership opportunities, and/or or addressing misconduct that do not incorporate DEIA principles may lead to real or perceived inequities among the laboratory workforce, contribute to low morale, and/or lead to regrettable workforce attrition. Lack of focus or prioritization on DEIA supporting initiatives impacts the ability of the laboratory to hire or retain individuals from diverse backgrounds and/or impacts that ability of the laboratory to maintain a workplace culture where everyone can thrive and contribute to the mission.
F	Lack of leadership by the laboratory's senior management in advancing DEIA at the laboratory has put the laboratory at risk of being unable to attract and retain the diverse, skilled workforce needed to carry out the mission of the laboratory, and/or has significantly hurt the reputation of the Office of Science and the Department of Energy.

4.4 Leadership of External Engagements and Partnerships

By which we mean: The performance of the laboratory leadership team to achieve the following:

- Establish a vision for shepherding technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities at the laboratory that aligns with the laboratory's unique expertise, facilities, and technology portfolio with the intent of advancing the DOE mission, national security, and economic prosperity for the United States.
- Implement an effective laboratory-wide technology transfer and commercialization strategy that is data-driven, grounded in evidence-based practices, and shows measurable progress towards achieving goals.
- Broadly deploy laboratory capabilities, intellectual property, and technologies to support and impact industry and other key non-DOE customer needs through Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (CRADA), Strategic Partnership Project (SPP) Agreements, and/or Agreements for Commercializing Technology (ACT), user facility access, and technology based economic development and Intellectual Property (IRP) management and licensing.

- Identify potential partners, implement outreach activities, and manage external engagements that enhance technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, accomplish community-based objectives, and develop feedback loops with industry, academia, and community groups that inform planned and ongoing mission activities in the laboratory.
- Develop and leverage appropriate relationships with industry, academia, local, state, and federal government, community groups, and tribes (e.g., public-private partnerships and long-term research collaborations) to address barriers to technology transfer, commercialization, and dissemination and ultimately benefit the laboratory, DOE, the local and regional population, and the U.S. taxpayer.
- Facilitate regional partnerships and initiatives with industry, academia, including HBCUs, MSIs, and community colleges, K-12 schools, local, state, and federal government organizations, regional economic development organizations, community groups, and tribes, among other groups (e.g., STEM outreach programs) to improve technology transfer, commercialization, and dissemination, and ultimately contribute to the local economy, workforce development, and community-based activities.
- Foster a culture of entrepreneurship and community engagement at the laboratory that encourages staff at all levels to consider and implement new initiatives that enhance technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities.

Letter	Definition
Grade	
	Laboratory leadership has an exemplary vision for shepherding technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities at the laboratory that aligns with the laboratory's unique expertise, facilities, and technology portfolio with the intent of advancing the DOE mission, national security, and economic prosperity for the United States.
	 The laboratory is recognized across the DOE complex for its preeminent leadership and excellence in: identifying, engaging, and leveraging relationships with industry, other labs, academia, local, state, and federal government, community groups, and tribes to drive technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities that benefit the laboratory, DOE, the local and regional population, and the U.S. taxpayer; facilitating regional partnerships and initiatives that contribute to the local economy, workforce development, and community-based activities; fostering a culture of entrepreneurship and community engagement at the laboratory that encourages staff at all levels to consider and implement initiatives that enhance technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based programs:
A +	 development, and community-based programs, developing and submitting, as the prime applicant, applications for funding to public and private sector institutions and receiving funding from such institutions for technology transfer and commercialization-related projects; encouraging multi-lab collaborations and joint technology development partnerships by participating in the development and submission of funding applications;
A+	 leveraging funding from public and private sector entities, including philanthropic institutions, to advance and achieve DOE technology transfer and commercialization goals; supporting regional innovation ecosystems through technical services, education and mentorship programs, and partnerships that support start-up incubation and technology acceleration of DOE- funded technologies and external technologies that support the DOE mission; partnering with the public and private sectors to develop, contribute to, and review technology transfer and commercialization strategies based on robust market analyses to support the transfer and commercialization of technologies across the research, development, demonstration, and deployment (RDD&D) continuum; and, contributing as members and serving in leadership positions in the Technology Transfer Working Group (TTWG), the National Laboratory Technology Transfer (NLTT) council, and other working and coordination groups established by DOE Headquarters.
	The laboratory is recognized across the complex for being highly effective in developing national and regional public and private partnerships that significantly enhance DOE and laboratory outreach efforts and scientific missions. The laboratory staff are strongly encouraged to seek out and pursue potential technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community- based activities that are clearly connected and/or complementary to their research and opportunities are available for staff to pursue such activities. The laboratory can demonstrate how this outreach informs its

	ongoing technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce
	development, and community-based efforts, and they are at the forefront of technology
	transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-
	based outcomes.
	Laboratory leadership has a substantive vision for shepherding technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities at the laboratory that aligns with the laboratory's unique expertise, facilities, and technology portfolio with the intent of advancing the DOE mission, national security, and economic prosperity for the United States.
Α	 The laboratory demonstrates leadership and excellence in: identifying, engaging, and leveraging relationships with industry, other labs, academia, local, state, and federal government, community groups, and tribes to drive technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities that benefit the laboratory, DOE, the local and regional population, and the U.S. taxpayer; facilitating regional partnerships and initiatives that contribute to the local economy, workforce development, and community-based activities; fostering a culture of entrepreneurship and community engagement at the laboratory that encourages staff at all levels to consider and put into effect initiatives that enhance technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities; developing and submitting, as the prime applicant, applications for funding to public and private sector institutions and receiving funding from such institutions for technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based related projects; and, encouraging multi-lab collaborations and joint technology development partnerships by participating in the development and submission of funding applications and receiving funding from public and private sector entities, including philanthropic institutions, to advance and achieve DOE technology transfer and commercialization activities.
	The laboratory is highly effective in developing national and regional public and private partnerships that significantly enhance DOE and laboratory outreach efforts and scientific missions. The laboratory staff are encouraged to seek out and pursue potential technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-
	based activities that are clearly connected and/or complementary to their research and opportunities are available for staff to pursue such activities. The laboratory can
	demonstrate how this outreach informs its ongoing technology transfer and
	commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based
	activities, and they are at the forefront of commercialization, education and workforce
A	development, and community-based outcomes.
A-	1 Laboratory reducising perioritis bence than expected (D + grade) in these areas.

	Laboratory leadership has a vision for shepherding technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities at the laboratory that aligns with the laboratory's unique expertise, facilities, and technology portfolio with the intent of advancing the DOE mission, national security, and economic prosperity for the United States.
B +	 The laboratory demonstrates effectiveness in: identifying, engaging, and leveraging relationships with industry, other labs, academia, local, state, and federal government, community groups, and tribes to drive technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities that benefit the laboratory, DOE, the local and regional population, and the U.S. taxpayer; facilitating regional partnerships and initiatives that contribute to the local economy, workforce development, and community-based activities; and, fostering a culture of entrepreneurship and community engagement at the laboratory that encourages staff at all levels to consider potential initiatives that enhance technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based programs; encourage the development and submittal, as the prime applicant, applications for funding to public and private sector institutions for technology transfer and commercialization, educations and joint technology development partnerships by participating in the development and submission of funding applications to advance and achieve DOE technology transfer and commercialization goals.
	The laboratory is effective in developing national and regional public and private partnerships that enhance DOE and laboratory outreach efforts and scientific missions. The laboratory staff are encouraged to seek out and pursue potential technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities that are clearly connected and/or complementary to their research and opportunities are available for staff to pursue such activities. The laboratory can demonstrate how this outreach informs its ongoing technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and they have strong evidence of progress in commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities.
В	based outcomes. Laboratory leadership performs below (B+ grade) in these areas. Laboratory leadership supports development of a vision for technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities at the laboratory; however, this vision is not fully realized and requires more work in more than one of the areas described above including, but not limited to, identifying, engaging, and leveraging relationships with potential external partners, facilitating regional partnerships and initiatives that contribute to the local economy, workforce development, and community- based activities, and/or overcoming challenges in capturing intellectual property. The laboratory staff are allowed but not encouraged to seek out and pursue potential technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities. The laboratory has developed few partnerships that will advance DOE and laboratory outreach and technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities, and workforce development, and community-based activities, and they have average technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and

С	The laboratory lacks a vision and the mechanisms to implement a strategy to promote technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities at the laboratory and has little success in developing partnerships and there has been limited commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based outcomes. This is evidenced in part by a lack of participation in funding opportunities and partnership activities that support technology transfer activities.
D	Laboratory leadership lacks a vision and has not supported the mechanisms/resources necessary to develop or implement an external engagement strategy to promote technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities at the laboratory including partnership efforts. Laboratory staff are discouraged from seeking out opportunities to solicit external partner input and are also discouraged from identifying potential activities for technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based and from engaging in efforts to protect intellectual property.
F	Lack of vision and resources by the laboratory's senior management has hindered the ability of the laboratory to identify, plan, and engage external partners to develop and promote technology transfer and commercialization, education and workforce development, and community-based activities at the laboratory that align with the laboratory's unique expertise, facilities, and technology portfolio; this failure has significantly hurt the Department's ability to achieve its mission.

4.5 Contractor Value-added

By which we mean: the additional benefits that accrue to the laboratory and the Department of Energy by virtue of having this particular M&O contractor in place. Included here, typically, are things over which the laboratory leadership does not have immediate authority, such as:

- Corporate involvement/contributions to deal with challenges at the laboratory;
- Using corporate resources to establish joint appointments or other programs/projects/activities that strengthen the lab, and
- Providing other contributions to the laboratory that that enable the lab to do things that are good for the laboratory and its community, and that DOE cannot supply.

Letter Grade	Definition
A+	The laboratory has been transformed as a result of the many, substantial, additional benefits that accrue to the laboratory as a result of this contractor's support and operation of the laboratory.
A	Over the past year (Jan 1, 2025 – Sep 30, 2025), the laboratory has become demonstrably stronger, better and more attractive as a place of employment as a result of the many, substantial, additional benefits that accrue to the laboratory as a result of this contractor's support and operation of the laboratory.
A-	The laboratory senior management performs better than expected (B+ grade) in these areas.
B +	The laboratory enjoys additional benefits above and beyond those associated with managing the laboratory's activities that accrue as a result of this contractor's support and operation of the laboratory.
В	The laboratory enjoys few additional benefits that accrue as a result of this contractor's operation of the laboratory; help by the contractor is needed to strengthen the laboratory.
С	The laboratory enjoys few additional benefits that accrue as a result of this contractor's operation of the laboratory; the contractor seems unable to help the laboratory.
D	The laboratory enjoys few additional benefits that accrue as a result of this contractor's operation of the laboratory; the contractor's efforts are inconsistent with the interests of the laboratory and the Department.
F	The laboratory enjoys no additional benefits that accrue as a result of this contractor's operation of the laboratory; the contractor's efforts are counter-productive to the interests of the Department.

Notable Outcomes

• **<u>FSO:</u>** N/A

ELEMENT	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Objective Weight	Overall Score
Goal 4.0 – Provide Sound and Competent Leadership and Stewardship of the Laboratory				
4.1 Leadership and Stewardship of the Laboratory			35%	
4.2 Management and Operation of the Laboratory			35%	
4.3 Advancing Laboratory Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Accessibility			10%	
4.4 Leadership of External Engagements and Partnerships			5%	
4.5 Contractor Value-Added			15%	
	Per	rformance G	oal 4.0 Total	

 Table 4.1 – Performance Goal 4.0 Score Development

Total	4.3-	4.0-	3.7-	3.4-	3.0-	2.7-	2.4-	2.0-	1.7-	1.0-	0.7-0
Score	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.1	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.1	0.8	
Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	В-	C+	С	C-	D	F

<u>GOAL 5.0</u> Sustain Excellence and Enhance Effectiveness of Integrated Safety, Health, and Environmental Protection

The weight of this Goal is 30%.

This Goal evaluates the Contractor's overall success in deploying, implementing, and improving integrated ES&H systems that efficiently and effectively support the mission(s) of the Laboratory.

- 5.1 Provide an Efficient and Effective Worker Health and Safety Program
- 5.2 Provide Efficient and Effective Environmental Management System

In measuring the performance of the above Objectives, the DOE evaluator(s) shall consider performance trends and outcomes in protecting workers, the public, and the environment. This may include, but is not limited to, minimizing the occurrence of environment, safety and health (ESH) incidents; effectiveness of the Integrated Safety Management (ISM) system; effectiveness of work planning, feedback, and improvement processes; the strength of the safety culture throughout the Laboratory; the strength of the Nuclear/Facility Safety Programs; the effective development, implementation and maintenance of an efficient and effective Environmental Management system; and the effectiveness of responses to identified hazards and/or incidents.

ELEMENT	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Objective Weight	Overall Score
Goal 5.0 - Sustain Excellence and Enhance				
Effectiveness of Integrated Safety, Health, and				
Environmental Protection.				
5.1 Provide an Efficient and Effective Worker			70%	
Health and Safety Program			, , , ,	
5.2 Provide an Efficient and Effective			30%	
Environmental Management System				
	Perf	formance Go	oal 5.0 Total	

Fable 5.1 –	Performance	Goal 5.0	Score	Development	t
	1 ci ioi munee	Gour 0.0	Neure.	Development	٠.

Total Score	4.3- 4.1	4.0- 3.8	3.7- 3.5	3.4- 3.1	3.0- 2.8	2.7- 2.5	2.4- 2.1	2.0- 1.8	1.7- 1.1	1.0-0.8	0.7-0
Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D	F

 Table 5.2 – Goal 5.0 Final Letter Grade

Notable Outcomes:

FSO:

Utilizing the CAS toolset, demonstrate that the institution has addressed FY24 operational and ES&H concerns related to the following (Obj. 5.1):

- Condition assessments and preventative maintenance process gaps
- Inspections, licensing, and permitting
- Hazard analysis, work planning and control
- Timely notification and investigation
- Workplace accountability

<u>GOAL 6.0</u> Deliver Efficient, Effective, and Responsive Business Systems and Resources that Enable the Successful Achievement of the Laboratory Mission(s)

The weight of this Goal is 30%.

This Goal evaluates the Contractor's overall success in deploying, implementing, and improving integrated business systems that efficiently and effectively support the mission(s) of the Laboratory.

- 6.1 Provide an Efficient, Effective, and Responsive Financial Management System
- 6.2 Provide an Efficient, Effective, and Responsive Acquisition Management System and Property Management System
- 6.3 Provide an Efficient, Effective, and Responsive Human Resources and Talent Management Systems
- 6.4 Provide Efficient, Effective, and Responsive Contractor Assurance Systems, including Internal Audit and Quality
- 6.5 Demonstrate Effective Transfer of Knowledge and Technology and the Commercialization of Intellectual Assets

In measuring the performance of the above Objectives, the DOE evaluator(s) shall consider performance trends and outcomes in the development, deployment and integration of foundational program (e.g., Contractor Assurance, Quality, Financial Management, Acquisition Management, Property Management, and Human Resource Management) systems across the Laboratory. This may include, but is not limited to, minimizing the occurrence of management systems support issues; quality of work products; continual improvement driven by the results of audits, reviews, recognized, evidence-based practices, and other performance information; the integration of system performance metrics and trends; the degree of knowledge and appropriate utilization of established system processes, procedures, and data by Contractor management and staff; benchmarking and performance trending analysis. The DOE evaluator(s) shall consider the Laboratory's performance in making progress toward comprehensive collection and submission to OSTI of peer-reviewed accepted manuscripts for journal articles (and associated metadata) resulting from DOE-funded research as called for in the DOE Public Access Plan⁹, and cooperation with the Department in meeting the relevant requirements to provide other forms of scientific and technical information to OSTI, per DOE O 241.1B. The DOE evaluator(s) shall also consider the stewardship of the pipeline of innovations and resulting intellectual assets at the Laboratory along with impacts and returns created/generated as a result of technology transfer, work for others and intellectual asset deployment activities.

Notable Outcomes

• N/A

⁹ https://www.energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan

FLEMENT	Letter	Numerical	Objective	Overall
	Grade	Score	Weight	Score
Goal 6.0 - Deliver Efficient, Effective, and				
Responsive Business Systems and Resources				
that Enable the Successful Achievement of the				
Laboratory Mission(s)				
6.1 Provide an Efficient, Effective, and			25%	
Responsive Financial Management System(s)			2370	
6.2 Provide an Efficient, Effective, and				
Responsive Acquisition Management System and			25%	
Property Management System				
6.3 Provide an Efficient, Effective, and				
Responsive Human Resources Management			25%	
System and Diversity Program				
6.4 Provide Efficient, Effective, and Responsive				
Contractor Assurance Systems, including Internal			20%	
Audit and Quality				
6.5 Demonstrate Effective Transfer of				
Knowledge and Technology and the			5%	
Commercialization of Intellectual Assets				
	Pe	erformance G	oal 6.0 Total	

	Table 6.1 –	Performance	Goal 6.0	Score	Development
--	-------------	-------------	----------	-------	-------------

Total Score	4.3- 4.1	4.0- 3.8	3.7- 3.5	3.4- 3.1	3.0- 2.8	2.7- 2.5	2.4- 2.1	2.0- 1.8	1.7- 1.1	1.0-0.8	0.7-0
Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D	F

Table 6.2 –	Goal 6.0	Final Letter	Grade
-------------	----------	---------------------	-------

<u>GOAL 7.0</u> Sustain Excellence in Operating, Maintaining, and Renewing the Facility and Infrastructure Portfolio to Meet Laboratory Needs

The weight of this Goal is 25 %.

This Goal evaluates the overall effectiveness and performance of the Contractor in planning for, delivering, and operations of Laboratory facilities and equipment needed to ensure required capabilities are present to meet todays and tomorrow's mission(s) and complex challenges.

- 7.1 Manage Facilities and Infrastructure in an Efficient and Effective Manner that Optimizes Usage, Minimizes Life Cycle Costs, and Ensures Site Capability to Meet Mission Needs
- 7.2 Provide Planning for and Acquire the Facilities and Infrastructure Required to Support the Continuation and Growth of Laboratory Missions and Programs

In measuring the performance of the above Objectives, the DOE evaluator(s) shall consider performance trends and outcomes in facility and infrastructure programs. This may include, but is not limited to, the management of real property assets to maintain effective operational safety, worker health, environmental protection and compliance, property preservation, and cost effectiveness; planning and executing strategies to promote the resilience and reliability of laboratory infrastructure; effective facility utilization, maintenance and budget execution; day-to-day management and utilization of space in the active portfolio; maintenance and renewal of building systems, structures and components associated with the Laboratory's facility and land assets; management of energy use, conservation, and sustainability practices; the integration and alignment of the Laboratory's comprehensive strategic plan with capabilities; facility planning, forecasting, and acquisition; the delivery of accurate and timely information required to carry out the critical decision and budget formulation process; quality of site and facility planning documents; and Cost and Schedule Performance Index performance for facility and infrastructure projects.

Notable Outcomes

•FSO: Develop a draft master plan for the desired future utility configuration of Fermilab and a prioritized list of upcoming and proposed infrastructure and accelerator support work for FY25 and FY26 including major maintenance projects (>\$50K), proposed GPPs, and proposed AIPs (Obj. 7.2).

ELEMENT	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Objective Weight	Overall Score
Goal 7.0 - Sustain Excellence in Operating,				
Maintaining, and Renewing the Facility and				
Infrastructure Portfolio to Meet Laboratory				
Needs.				
7.1 Manage Facilities and Infrastructure in an				
Efficient and Effective Manner that Optimizes			60%	
Usage, Minimizes Life Cycle Costs, and Ensures				
Site Capability to Meet Mission Needs				
7.2 Provide Planning for and Acquire the Facilities				
and Infrastructure Required to support the			40%	
Continuation and Growth of Laboratory Missions				
and Programs				
	Per	rformance (Foal 7.0 Total	

Table 7.1 – Performance Goal 7.0 Score Development

Total	4.3-	4.0-	3.7-	3.4-	3.0-	2.7-	2.4-	2.0-	1.7-	1.0-	0.7-0
Score	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.1	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.1	0.8	
Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D	F

Table 7.2 – Goal 7.0 Final Letter Grade

<u>GOAL 8.0</u> Sustain and Enhance the Effectiveness of Integrated Safeguards and Security Management (ISSM) and Emergency Management Systems

The weight of this Goal is 15%.

This Goal evaluates the Contractor's overall success in safeguarding and securing Laboratory assets that supports the mission(s) of the Laboratory in an efficient and effective manner and provides an effective emergency management program.

- 8.1 Provide an Efficient and Effective Emergency Management System
- 8.2 Provide an Efficient and Effective Cyber Security System for the Protection of Classified and Unclassified Information
- 8.3 Provide an Efficient and Effective Physical Security Program for the Protection of Special Nuclear Materials, Classified Matter, Classified Information, Sensitive Information, and Property

Notable Outcomes

• N/A

ELEMENT	Letter Grade	Numerical Score	Objective Weight	Overall Score	
Goal 8.0 - Sustain and Enhance the Effectiveness					
of Integrated Safeguards and Security					
management (ISSM) and Emergency					
Management Systems.					
8.1 Provide an Efficient and Effective Emergency			20%		
Management System			2070		
8.2 Provide an Efficient and Effective Cyber					
Security System for the Protection of Classified and			40%		
Unclassified Information					
8.3 Provide an Efficient and Effective Physical					
Security Program for the Protection of Special			40%		
Nuclear Materials, Classified Matter, Classified					
Information, Sensitive Information, and Property					
Performance Goal 8.0 Total					

 Table 8.1 – Performance Goal 8.0 Score Development

Total	4.3-	4.0-	3.7-	3.4-	3.0-	2.7-	2.4-	2.0-	1.7-	1.0-	0.7-0
Score	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.1	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.1	0.8	
Final Grade	A+	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D	F

Table 8.2 – Goal 8.0 Final Letter Grade

SUBCONTRACT NUMBER 720447 SPECIAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTION ACCOUNT AGREEMENT FOR USE WITH THE CHECKS PAID LOC FINANCING ARRANGEMENT

The Agreement is entered into the 1st day of January 2025, between the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (the Government), represented by the Department of Energy (DOE), the Contracting Officer executing the agreement, Fermi Forward Discovery Group, LLC, a limited liability company/legal entity existing under the Laws of the State of Delaware (the Contractor or FFDG), and Fifth Third Bank, National Association, a financial institution operating under the laws of the United States, located at 6111 N River Road, MD GRSM34, Rosemont, IL 60018 (the Financial Institution).

RECITALS

- (a) Under date of January 1, 2025, the Government and the Contractor entered into Contract 89243024CSC000002, or a related supplemental agreement, providing for the advance payments to the Contractor. A copy of the advance payment terms was furnished to the financial institution.
- (b) The contract or supplemental agreement (per Recital (a)) requires amounts transferred to the Contractor be deposited separate from the Contractor's general or other funds, in a Special Account at a member bank of the Federal Reserve System, and "insured" bank within the meaning of the Act creating the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (12 U.S.C 1811), or a credit union insured by the National Credit Union Administration. The parties agree to deposit the amounts with the Financial Institution, which meets the requirement.
- (c) The Special Account is designated as "Fermi Forward Discovery Group LLC, United States Department of Energy Special, Prime Contract No. 89243024CSC000002" account.

COVENANTS

In consideration of the foregoing, and for other good and valuable considerations, the parties agree to the following conditions:

- 1. The Government has a lien on the credit balance in the account to secure the repayment of every advance payment made to the Contractor. The lien is paramount to any lien or claim of the Financial Institution regarding the account.
- 2. The Financial Institution is bound by the terms of the Agreement relating to the deposit and withdrawal of funds in the Special Account and is unaccountable for the application of funds withdrawn from the Account. The Financial Institution acts on written directions from the Contracting Officer, the administering office, or a duly authorized representative of either. The Financial Institution is unaccountable to any party to the agreement for any action complying with the written directions. Any written directions received by the Financial Institution through the Contracting Officer on DOE stationery and purporting to be signed by, or by the direction of DOE or duly authorized representative, may be, as far as the rights, duties, and liabilities of the Financial Institution are concerned, considered as being properly issued and filed with the Financial Institution by DOE.

- 3. The Government or the Government's authorized representatives, has access to books and records maintained by the Financial Institution regarding the Special account at reasonable times and for reasonable purposes, including, the inspection or copying of the books and records and any and all pertinent memoranda, checks, correspondence, or documents. The financial institution preserves the books and records for a period of six (6) years after the closing of the Special Account.
- 4. In the event of the service of any writ of attachment, levy of execution, or commencement of garnishment proceedings regarding the Special Account, the Financial Institution shall promptly notify DOE at:

FSO/DOE Kirk Road & Wilson Street Batavia Illinois 60510-0500

- 5. DOE authorizes funds to the extent obligations have been incurred in good faith thereunder by the Contractor to the Financial Institution for the benefit of the Special Account. The Financial Institution agrees to honor upon presentation for payment all payments issued by the Contractor and to restrict all withdrawals against the funds authorized to an amount sufficient to maintain the average daily balance in the Special Account in a net positive and as close to zero as administratively possible.
- 6. The Financial Institution agrees to service the account based on the requirements and specifications conveyed by the Contractor. The Financial Institution agrees that per-item costs, detailed in the form "Schedule of Financial Institution Processing Charges" contained in the Financial Institution's Proposal will remain constant during the tenn of this Agreement. The Financial Institution calculates the monthly fees based on services rendered.
- 7. The Financial Institution posts collateral in accordance with 31 CFR 202 with the Federal Reserve bank in an amount equal to the net balances in any of the accounts included in the Agreement, less the Treasury-approved deposit insurance.
- 8. The Agreement, with every provision and covenant, is in effect for a base term of one (1) year, beginning on the 1st day of January 2025, and ending on the 31st day of December 2025, with two 1-year Options that, if both Options are exercised, extend the Term through the 31st day of December 2027.
- 9. DOE, the Contractor, or the Financial Institution may terminate this Agreement at any time within the Agreement period upon submitting written notification to the other parties ninety (90) days prior to the desired termination date. The specific provisions for operating the account during this 90-day period are contained in Covenant 12.
- 10. DOE or the Contractor may terminate this Agreement at any time upon thirty (30) days' written notice to the Financial Institution if DOE or the Contractor, or both parties, find that the Financial Institution has failed to substantially perform its obligations under this Agreement or that the Financial Institution is performing its obligation in a manner which precludes administering the program in an effective and efficient manner or precludes the effective utilization of the Government's cash resources.
- Notwithstanding the provisions of Covenants 9 and 10, in the event that the Agreement, referenced in Recital

 (a), between DOE and the Contractor is not renewed or is terminated, this Agreement between DOE, the
 Contractor, and the Financial Institution terminates automatically upon the delivery of written notice to the
 Financial Institution.
- 12. In the event of termination, the Financial Institution agrees to retain the Contractor's Special Account for an additional 90-day period to clear outstanding payment items.

TERMS

This Agreement shall continue in effect for the 90-day additional period, with exception of the following:

- 1. Term Agreement (Covenant 8)
- 2. Termination of Agreement (Covenants 9 and 10)

Terms and conditions of the aforesaid proposal provided by the Financial Institution which are consistent with the 90-day additional term remains in effect for the period.

The Financial Institution has provided the forms entitled "Technical Representations and Certifications" and "Schedule of Financial institution Processing Charges." These forms have been accepted by the Contractor (FFDG) and the Government (DOE) and are incorporated herein with the document entitled "Financial Institution's information on checks paid LOC Financing Arrangement" as an integral part of this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have caused this Agreement, which consists of 3 pages, including the signature pages, to be executed as of the day and year first above written.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Digitally signed by Robert Scott Date: 2024.12.19 12:47:34 -06'00'

Robert Scott Department of Energy Contracting Officer

12/19/2024

(Date Signed)

FERMI FORWARD DISCOVERY GROUP, LLC

Elizabeth Moss, UID:emoss Date: 2024.12.19 10:17:07 -06'00'

Elizabeth Moss Chief Procurement Officer (Interim)

<u>12-19-24</u> (Date Signed)

FIFTH THIRD BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

Antonette Kaufman

Antonette Kaufman Regional Treasury Sales Manager

12-19-2024

(Date Signed)



INDIVIDUAL SUBCONTRACTING PLAN FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS Fermi Forward Discovery Group, LLC Pine St. and Kirk Rd. / Wilson St. and Kirk Rd. Batavia, IL 60510 Approved By: Robert Scott Digitally signed by Robert Scott Date: 2025.01.01 10:05:43 -06'00' Agency: U.S. Department of Energy Printed Name: Robert Scott Title: Contracting Officer Phone: 630-840-4850 Date: SBA Provided Approval on December 4, 2024 INDIVIDUAL PLAN COVERING January 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025

It is the policy of FermiForward Discovery Group (FermiForward) that small, small disadvantaged, HUBZone small, woman- owned small, veteran-owned small and service-disabled veteran-owned small business concerns have the maximum practicable opportunity to participate in subcontracts let in the performance of the prime contract received by FermiForward consistent with the efficient performance of this contract.

The policy of FermiForward is to comply fully with regulations stated in FAR **52.219-8**, **52.219.9** *and Public Law* **95-507**. *This subcontracting plan becomes a part of the overall Prime Contract, as it pertains to procurement.*



SECTION I-STATEMENT OF GOALS FAR 52.219-9(d)(1)/(2)

FermiForward has established the following goals for awards to small business concerns, and historically black colleges and universities and minority institutions, when applicable (see Table 1). The procurement volume is estimated and may vary depending on the project efforts in any given year. The Total Contract Price and Total to be Subcontracted are based on the FRA previously submitted FY2025 Individual Subcontracting Plan for Small Business Concerns, (October – September) prorated for the new nine-month period. The percent allocation to Small Business and each category is from the FermiForward proposal. The small business goal as a percent will remain unchanged regardless of the actual dollars allotted for subcontracting. Upon finalization of the FY2025 funding, Small Business Award goals may be adjusted to reflect the new plan.

Area	Amount (\$)	Percent
A. Total Contract Price	\$562,750,000	
B. Total to be Subcontracted	\$258,750,000	
1. To Large Business	\$131,962,500	51.0%
2. To Small Business:	\$126,787,500	49.0%
a To Small Disadvantaged	\$12,937,500	5.0%
b. To Woman-Owned	\$12,937,500	5.0%
c. To HUBZone	\$7,762,500	3.0%
d. To Veteran-Owned	\$3,881,250	1.5%
e. To Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned	\$3,881,250	1.5%

Table 1. Goals for Small Business Awards

These goals are based on the total estimated contract price and the total available dollars to be subcontracted.

Please note that the proposed Veteran-Owned and Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned goals have been segregated to follow DOE reporting format. These goals have also been adjusted lower than Department of Energy advised goal and cannot be reasonably increased due to the substantial amount of work required by Fermilab to successfully support the Long Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) and Proton Improvement Plan II (PIP-II) projects (both multi-million-dollar efforts). These projects require substantial specialized services unable to be supplied by small business suppliers.

Subcontracts awarded to an ANC or Indian tribe shall be counted towards the subcontracting goals for small business and small disadvantage business (SDB) concerns.

SECTION II-SUBCONTRACTED ITEMS FAR 52.219-9(d)(3)

PUR-SBSP, Rev 0

Principal types of subcontracted products and services are detailed in Table 2.

Subcontracted Supplies/Services	LG	SB	SDB	WOSB	HUB Zone	VOSB	SDVOSB
Construction Service	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
Janitorial Service		Х	Х				
Housekeeping		Х		Х			
Fabrications	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х
Computers	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Software	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	
Maintenance Supplies		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Construction Supplies	Х	Х	Х			X	Х
Office Supplies	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х
Chemicals	Х	Х				Х	
Maintenance Services	Х	х	Х	Х		Х	

Table 2. Typical Subcontracted Products and Services

SECTION III-METHOD OF DEVELOPING GOALS FAR 52.219-9(d)(4)

The goal was based on the FermiForward proposed value. During proposal development, a variety of discrete work scopes, including complex technical content that can be awarded to Small Businesses was reviewed. This increases Small Business subcontracting opportunities will enables Small Businesses to conduct work that is important while expanding the volume, variety, and sustainability of their portfolios.

FermiForward proposes implementation of the "Small Business First" approach to increase actionable subcontracted dollar percentages to Small Businesses. Woman-owned Small Business Longenecker & Associates was preselected as part of the FermiForward contract. This and analysis of work scope has allowed FermiForward to identify the type of work intended to be subcontract to Small Businesses. FermiForward is committed to increase the variety and complexity of the technical and professional services subcontracted.

By proactively engaging with small business subcontractor Longenecker & Associates and leveraging best practices from Amentum, FermiForward looks to promote the use of Small Businesses across the lab to perform meaningful work. FermiForward will introduce a "Small Business First" initiative that integrates Small Businesses to supplement activities and ultimately to reduce costs and risk. Our approach focuses on engaging disadvantaged Small Businesses whenever possible through strategic initiatives and FermiForward commits to engaging Small Businesses in meaningful contract performance. FermiForward recognizes that a robust Small Business subcontracting strategy fosters development of a more diverse, competitive, and capable procurement

PUR-SBSP, Rev 0

environment.

FermiForward will implement the 6-step "Small Business First" as outlined in our proposal (Figure B-47) to ensure enhanced opportunities and for subcontractor selection.

Define the Scope	 Review work elements, including materials and supplies for subcontracting opportunities Identify discrete, defined technical and programmatic scope that contributes to mission Use standard lists of supplies and services from previous procurements
Make/Buy Decision	 Perform a risk review evaluating risks of performance and impact to laboratory missions Perform make/buy decision based on the risk review to ensure a cost-effective decision that can be completed in the best interest to the program
Assess Small Business Suitability	Determine if the SB community can deliver the requirements of the scope on time and to project specifica- tions—if so, the work is set aside for SB competition and, if not, it is competed in an open competition
Prepare Procurement Package	 Establish cost benchmark, prepare statement of work, and define proposal specifications With consideration for Justice40, use standard procurement packages and contract terms and conditions, including prime contract flowdown requirements Standardize the evaluation factors and selection requirements Pre-qualify subcontractors based on safety performance and past performance
Procurement Process	 Announce upcoming procurements on a dedicated website and release the RFPs on time Review proposals with an established evaluation team Perform contractor selection by Contracting Selection Official Award contract and negotiate through established contracting officers
Performance and Improvement	 Subcontract technical representatives (STRs) oversee performance and ensure completion of defined scope, with a focus on safety and quality Develop lessons learned on the performance of subcontractors and provide feedback to support development for future scope efforts

Figure B-47: FermiForward's Small Business First Process. Our approach develops and enhances the Fermilab SB supply chain.

SECTION IV-IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL SUPPLIERS FAR 52.219-9(d)(5)

Procurement Specialists identify qualified small business concerns in one or more of the following manners:

- ξ Reference to the company supplier directory source list and/or supplier portal.
- ξ Written representations submitted by the contractor.
- ξ Self-initiated action to expand the supplier base.
- ξ Enlisting assistance of the FermiForward-SBLO who will contact the regional office of the Small Business Administration.

In addition to reliance on internal source list directories and inter-organizational data for identification of potential suppliers, company procurement personnel use numerous outside directories. Sources include:

- ξ System for Award Management (SAM)
- ξ Government Contracting Resource Center Database (Govcon)
- ξ National Directory of Minority Businesses
- ξ DoD Regional Small Business Council Directories
- ξ State APEX Accelerator
- ξ Diversity Information Resources website
- ξ Various Trade Directories (i.e. Thomas Register)
- ξ Dynamic Small Business Search website

Further, FermiForward takes care to participate in assorted small business conferences, procurement conferences, and trade fairs.

SECTION V- DIRECT & INDIRECT COSTS FAR 52.219-9(d)(6)

Indirect costs:

Are included

Are not included

Indirect costs allocations are calculated based on the previous year's total annual sales to the Government.



SECTION VI-AMINISTRATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PROGRAM FAR 52.219-9(d)(7)

Name: Chelsen Kincade-Jackson			
Title/Position:	Supplier Manager, Quality Compliance		
Address:	P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60506		
Telephone:	630.840.2873		

The plan is administered in accordance with applicable government regulations and instructions received from the SBA, or the contracting agency. The Administrator also performs the following duties:

- ξ Maintain liaison with the Small Business Administration and/or cognizant Government Small Business Specialist on all matters pertaining to this program.
- ξ Develop and promote company-wide policy initiatives that demonstrate the company's support for awarding subcontracts to small business concerns.
- ξ Maintain records showing procedures that have been adopted to comply with the policies set forth in this procedure for review by the cognizant Government Small Business Representative.
- $\xi\,$ Establish and maintain a Small Business Subcontracting Plan per FAR 52.219-9 on all proposals or contracts.
- ξ Provide analyses that indicate adequate and timely consideration of the potentialities of known small business concerns in all proposal and post-contract award "make or buy" decisions.
- ξ Supervise compliance with the "Utilization of Small Business Concerns" clause, FAR 52.219-8.
- ξ Update source lists of Small Business concerns using a referral system maintained by the Small Business Administration and various interracial councils and minority organizations.
- ξ Ensuring periodic rotation of potential subcontractors on source lists.
- ξ Provide effective training, particularly for Procurement Specialists to assist small business firms. Training to include effective use of potential source lists and other resources, solicitation, counseling, technical, financial, or other assistance as required facilitating increased participation in bidding and receiving awards. Training and guidance may also be accomplished through:
 - Presenting workshops, seminars, and training programs,
 - Establishing, maintaining, and using small business concerns source lists, guides, and other data for soliciting subcontracts,
 - Monthly reporting on small business concerns awards by individual Procurement team members to raise awareness and accountability,
 - Monitoring activities to evaluate compliance with the subcontracting plan.
- ξ Attend or arrange for the attendance of company counselors at Small Business Opportunity Workshops,
 Minority and Women Business Enterprise Seminars, Trade Fairs, Procurements Conferences, etc.
- ξ Monitor the company's performance and make necessary adjustments to ensure every attempt is made to achieve the subcontract plan goals.
- ξ Prepare, and submit timely, required subcontract reports.
- ξ Coordinate the company's activities during compliance reviews by Federal agencies.

SECTION VII-EFFORTS TO ENSURE EQUITABLE OPPORTUNITY FAR 52.219-9(d)(8)

FermiForward will make every effort to assure fair and equitable opportunity for SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone, VOSB and SDVOSB concerns by:

- ξ Examining acquisitions to determine the extent of subcontracting opportunities.
- ξ Providing adequate and timely consideration of the potentialities of SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone, SDVOSB and VOSB concerns.
- ξ Evaluating current supply base and determine which areas are not currently represented and where opportunities to participate may be possible.
- ξ Providing technical assistance as necessary to small business concerns.
- ξ FermiForward will participate in small business matchmaking events, trade fairs and conferences, such as Veteran Owned Small Business Conference and Minority Business Opportunity conferences, DOE Small Business Conference, Business Matchmaking Conferences, Local visits to Trade Associations, and the Office of Science Procurement Managers Meeting to ensure exposure to potential new small business concerns.
- ξ Maintaining contact with the following small business development organizations:
 - ξ DOE Small Business (OSDBU)
 - ξ Illinois APEX Accelerator
 - ξ U.S. Small Business Administration
 - ξ Hispanic American Construction Industry Association
 - ξ Women's Business Development Center

SECTION VIII-UTILIZATION OF SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS FAR 52.219-9(d)(9)

FermiForward will include the clause entitled "Utilization of Small Business Concerns" in all subcontracts that offer further subcontracting opportunities (FAR 52.219-8). Further, FermiForward will require all subcontractors (except small businesses) who receive subcontracts exceeding \$750,000 (\$1,500,000 for construction of any public facility) with further subcontracting possibilities to adopt a subcontracting plan that complies with the requirements of this clause.

SECTION IX-REPORTS AND STUDIES 52.219-9(d)(10)

FermiForward agrees to:

- ξ Cooperate in any studies or surveys as may be required.
- ξ Submit periodic reports so that the Government can determine the extent of compliance by the offeror with the subcontracting plan.
- ξ After November 30, 2017, include subcontracting data for each order when reporting subcontracting achievements for indefinite-delivery, indefinite-quantity contracts intended for use by multiple agencies.
- ξ Submit the Individual Subcontract Report (ISR) and/or the Summary Subcontract Report (SSR), in accordance with paragraph (I) of this clause using the Electronic Subcontracting Reporting System (eSRS) at http://www.esrs.gov.
- ξ Ensure that its subcontractors with subcontracting plans agree to submit the ISR and/or the SSR using eSRS and conform to the submission requirements
- ξ Provide the prime contract number, its unique entity identifier, and the e-mail address of the offeror's responsible for acknowledging or rejecting the reports, to all first-tier subcontractors with subcontracting plans so they can enter this information into the eSRS when submitting their reports; and require that each subcontractor with a subcontracting plan provide the prime contract number, its own unique entity identifier, and the e-mail address of the subcontractor's official responsible for acknowledging or rejecting the reports, to its subcontractors with subcontracting plans.
 - ξ Subcontractor subcontracting plans will be reviewed by comparing them with the provisions of Public Law 95-507, The Small Business Act, and assuring that all minimum requirements of an acceptable subcontracting plan have been satisfied. The acceptability of percentage goals shall be determined on a case- by-case basis depending on the supplies/services involved, the availability of potential small business concerns and prior experience. Once approved and implemented, plans will be monitored through the submission of periodic reports, and/or, as time and availability of funds permit, periodic visits to subcontractors' facilities to review applicable records and subcontracting program progress.
 - ξ As prescribed in FAR Subpart 19.301(d), the Federal U.S. Government may impose a penalty against any firm misrepresenting their business size as a small business, or any small business concern sub-category for the purpose of obtaining a subcontract that is to be included as part or all of a goal contained in the contractor's subcontracting plan.

SECTION X-RECORD TO BE MAINTAINED FAR 52.219-9(d)(11)

FermiForward maintains all records required under FAR 52.219-9 as follows:

- ξ Small Business source lists and Internet resources are available in the purchasing area for Procurement Specialist referral and use. They are as follows:
 - ξ System for Award Management (SAM) www.sam.gov
 - ξ VetBiz.gov
 - ξ Purchasing Guide Database
 - ξ Minority Business and Professional Directory
 - ξ Diversity Information Resources Information Research Guide
 - ξ Dynamic Small Business Search
 - ξ National Association of Women Business Owners
- ξ A log is maintained by the SBLO of all contacts made to secure additional small business sources.
- ξ On each subcontract solicitation resulting in an award of more than the simplified acquisition threshold, records indicating:
 - ξ Whether small, small disadvantaged, HUBZone small, woman-owned small, veteran-owned small, service-disabled veteran-owned business concerns were solicited and if not, why not
 - ξ If applicable, the reason award was not made to a small business concern.
- ξ Records are maintained by the SBLO to support and show participation in the following:
 - ξ Contacts with various trade groups representing small, small disadvantaged, HUBZone small, womanowned small, veteran-owned small, and service-disabled veteran-owned business concerns including participation in the Veteran-owned Small Business Engagement, when applicable.
 - ξ Contacts with business development organizations.
 - ξ Attendance at trade fairs and conferences.
 - ξ FermiForward maintains records of outreach to contact veteran service organizations and other small businesses which are available for review upon request. There are over 45 organizations with which we seek business opportunities and maintain relationships with.
- ξ Training sessions with both large and small business concerns regarding small business subcontracting. Records of internal guidance and encouragement provided to Procurement Specialists through workshops, training, and seminars. Additionally, the SBLO conducts internal classes with the Procurement Specialists, ensuring their compliance with all regulations.
- ξ Compliance with the plan is maintained on a contract-by-contract basis showing awards to small and large businesses.
- ξ In accordance with FAR 52.219-9(d)(11)(vi), FermiForward maintains, in our business system, records of all award determinations, including applicable contract and subcontractor data, available for review upon request.

SECTION XI-ENGAGING SMALL BUSINESSES FAR 52.219-9(d)(12)

FermiForward will make a good faith effort to acquire articles, equipment, supplies, services, or materials, or obtain the performance of construction work from the small business concerns that it used in preparing the bid or proposal, in the same or greater scope, amount, and quality used in preparing and submitting the bid or proposal. Responding to a request for a quote does not constitute use in preparing a bid or proposal.

FermiForward will use a small business concern in preparing the bid or proposal if-

- ξ FermiForward identifies the small business concern as a subcontractor in the bid or proposal or associated small business subcontracting plan, to furnish certain supplies or perform a portion of the subcontract; or
- ξ FermiForward will use the small business concern's pricing or cost information or technical expertise in preparing the bid or proposal, where there is written evidence of an intent or understanding that the small business concern will be awarded a subcontract for the related work if FermiForward is awarded the contract.

SECTION XII-EFFORT TO NOTIFY FAR 52.219-9(d)(13)

FermiForward will make every effort to assure that it will provide the FSO Contracting Officer with a written explanation if FermiForward fails to acquire articles, equipment, supplies, services, or materials, or obtain the performance of construction work as described in (d)(12) of this clause. This written explanation must be submitted to the FSO Contracting Officer within 30 days of contract completion.

SECTION XIII-NOT TO IMPEDE COMMUNICATION FAR 52.219-9(d)(14)

FermiForward will make every effort to assure that it will not prohibit a subcontractor from discussing with the FSO Contracting Officer any material matter pertaining to payment to or utilization of a subcontractor.

SECTION XIV-TIMELY PAYMENTS FAR 52.219-9(d)(15)

FermiForward will pay its small business subcontractors on time and in accordance with the terms and conditions of the underlying subcontract and will notify the FSO Contracting Officer when it makes either a reduced or an untimely payment to a small business subcontractor. (Reference FAR 52.245-5)

SECTION XV-MENTOR PROTÉGÉ PROGRAM

FermiForward will continue to administer our current official DOE approved "Mentor-Protégé" in accordance with DOE DEARS Part 919. The Small Business Program Manager is the individual designated to administer this program.

SECTION XVI-SMALL BUSINESS SET-ASIDES

Using data analytics of recurring or routine procurement actionable spend the SBLO will review and make recommendations to target certain commodity or service procurements. The SBLO recommendations will be provided to the Senior Procurement Officers for consideration. Procurement will engage the SBLO when changing procurement strategy in a manner which may impact incumbent small businesses. Acquisition for new, non-routine commodity or services, will be considered on a case-by-case basis. The SBLO will review these procurements activities with the procurement team to determine appropriate set asides.

SECTION XVII-DESCRIPTION OF GOOD FAITH EFFORT

FermiForward intends to use all reasonable and good faith efforts (as described in this Plan) to award the stated percentages of the final actual subcontract base amount with small business concerns.

REVISION RECORD

Revision Date	Revision Level	Author	Description
10/25/2024	0	Transition Team	Initial Release